



NEITI

Nigeria
Extractive
Industries
Transparency
Initiative



THE PRESIDENCY



SCOPING STUDY ON THE NIGERIAN MINING SECTOR

...promoting transparency, enabling prosperity.

**Scoping Study on
the Nigerian Mining
Sector**

The project "Scoping Study on the Nigerian Mining Sector" has been implemented by the Nigerian Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (NEITI) and funded by the EITI Multi Donor Trust Fund (MDTF) at the World Bank. This study was prepared by Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland, Bureau of Minerals and Petroleum (Greenland), Minre Associates (NG) and Meyetty Nigeria Limited (NG)

The editor is responsible for all results and conclusions presented in the report, which do not necessarily reflect the position of NEITI.

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form, for educational or non-profit purposes, without special permission from the copyright holder, provided that acknowledgement of the source is made. It is recommended that the publication is cited as follows:

Tychsen, J, Hassan, U.A., Wazir, B.A., Kjaer,O.F., Greve, B.E. 2011:

Scoping Study on the Nigerian Mining Sector, 90 pp. Copenhagen; Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS);

First Published, 2011

ISBN 978-87-7871-323-0

GEUS would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source. No use of this book may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from GEUS or the NEITI.

Editor: Mr. John Tychsen, GEUS

Text Editor: Mrs. Jane Holst. GEUS

Technical Editor: Mr. Henrik Klinge Pedersen, GEUS

Cover and lay-out: Mr. Henrik Klinge Pedersen, GEUS

Photographs: John Tychsen, GEUS and Julius Berger Nigeria Plc

Print: Mr. Afet Neimi, GEUS

© Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS), 2011

Ministry of Climate and Energy

10 OesterVoldgade

DK-1350 Copenhagen,Denmark

This second edition was published in 2012 by:

The NEITI Secretariat

4thFloor, Murjanatu House, No.1 Zambezi Crescent,

Off Aguiyi Ironsi Way, Maitama, Abuja -Nigeria

Telephone: 09-2910362, 2906623

Email:communications@neiti.org.ng

Website: www.neiti.org.ng

Table of Contents

LIST OF ACRONYMS	5
Executive Summary	6
1. INTRODUCTION	9
Origin of the scoping study	
Methodology	
Stakeholders consulted	
Funding of the study	
2. BACKGROUND OF SOLID MINERAL SECTOR IN NIGERIA	12
The mining industry in Nigeria:	
Recent history	
Revenue streams in the solid mineral sector	
3. THE EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRIES TRANSPARENCY INITIATIVE (EITI)	25
Background	
Core standards	
Benefits of implementing the EITI	
EITI implementing countries	
International governance structure	
International supporters to the EITI principles	
4. THE NIGERIAN EITI (NEITI)	32
Structure of NEITI	
Major Stakeholders	
NEITI Audits	
5. STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK	39
Methodology	
Perception of transparency in the solid minerals industries in Nigeria:-	
Government views	
Company views	
Civil society views	
Additional issues	
Disclosure of payments and revenue data by mining companies to government agencies	
Possible barriers/impediments to the adoption and implementation of EITI:-	
Political barriers	
Economic barriers	

Regulatory and contractual barriers	
Institutional capacity barriers	
Other barriers	
Actions and resources required to address identified barriers	
The role of stakeholders in the EITI process	
Government	
Companies	
Civil society organisations	
Perceived benefits of adopting the EITI	
6. Extension of the EITI into the solid mineral sector	47
Committing to the EITI	
Involving stakeholders	
Deciding the scope of an EITI program	
Developing a work plan	
7. NEITI stakeholder workshop on 22nd of September 2011	53
8. Conclusions and recommendations for next step	57
Conclusions	
Recommendations	

Appendices

- Appendix A: The breakdown of the relevant titles and their requirements.
- Appendix B: Duration of licenses and fees collected by MCO
- Appendix C: Report on activities and revenue generation in MCO
- Appendix D: Table of royalties rates for selected minerals at MID
- Appendix E: Table of fees and duties in the solid mineral sector
- Appendix F: List of companies paying more than 2.000.000 Naira in royalties in 2010
- Appendix G: List of companies paying more than 5.000.000 Naira in royalties in 2010
- Appendix H: Agenda for the NEITI stakeholder workshop on 22nd of September 2011
- Appendix J: Report from the NEITI stakeholder workshop on 22nd of September 2011

List of Boxes

- Box 1: The mandate of the MMSD
- Box 2: What is EITI?
- Box 3: The EITI Criteria
- Box 4: EITI countries as of August 2011
- Box 5: The primary objectives of the NEITI
- Box 6: The current members of the NSWG
- Box 7: Requirements for EITI Implementing Countries

List of Figures

Figure 1: Structure of Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD)

Figure 2: The governance structure of EITI.

Figure 3: Graphical representation of revenue generated by MCO from 2006 – 2010

List of Tables

Table 1: Revenue collected by MCO for 2010

Table 2: The types of fees as empowered by the *Minerals and Mining Act of 2007* and the *Minerals and Mining Regulations 2011* collected by the MID

Table 3: Royalties and explosive fee collected by MID in 2010

Table 4: Analysis of royalties for 2010

Table 5: Revenue collected by ASM Department for 2010

Table 6: Revenue collected by NGSA for 2010

Table 7: Revenue collected by FMoE as registration fee for EIAs for 2010

Table 8: Revenue collected by CBN as NEES fee for 2010

Table 9: Overview of major fees collected in solid mineral sector

List of Acronyms

ASM:	Artisanal and Small-scale Mining
ASMD:	Artisanal and Small-scale Mining Department
CBN:	Central Bank of Nigeria
EIA:	Environmental Impact Assessment
EITI:	Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
EL:	Exploration Licence
FCT:	Federal Capital Territory
FGN:	Federal Government of Nigeria
FIRS:	Federal Inland Revenue Service
FMoE:	Federal Ministry of Environment
GEUS:	Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland
LSM:	Large Scale Mining
MMSD:	Ministry of Mines and Steel Development
MAN:	Miners Association of Nigeria
MCO:	Mining Cadastre Office
MDTF:	Multi-Donor Trust fund
MECD:	Mines Environmental Compliance Department
MID:	Mines Inspectorate Department
NCS:	Nigerian Customs Service
NEITI:	Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
NIMG:	Nigerian Institute of Mining and Geosciences
NGRL:	National Geosciences Research laboratories
NGSA:	Nigerian Geological Survey Agency
NMC:	Nigerian Mining Corporation
OAGF:	Office of Accountant-General of the Federation
QL (QLS):	Quarry Lease
RP:	Reconnaissance Lease
RMAFC:	Revenue Mobilization Allocation and Fiscal Commission
SMMRP:	Sustainable Management of Mineral Resources Project
SSML:	Small-scale Mining Lease
WB:	World Bank
WUP:	Water Use Permit

Executive Summary

During the 5th EITI Global Conference in Paris in March 2011 the EITI Board designated Nigeria as EITI Compliant as of 1 March 2011. The Board congratulated Nigeria for its commitment to the EITI process. The Board also congratulated the NEITI multi-stakeholder working group (NSWG) and the NEITI Secretariat for its strong collaboration and effective oversight of EITI implementation. The Board also welcomed Nigeria's commitment to further strengthen implementation by incorporating the revenues from the solid mineral sector to the EITI reporting.

Therefore, the Board of NEITI – the NSWG – decided at the meeting of 20 May 2011 to carry out "A comprehensive audit of the solid minerals sector to cover the period 2007-2010." The present Scoping Study will provide guidance on the "materiality points /levels" and through this the companies to be involved in this audit.

The methodology adopted includes both desk study of the various literature obtained from various government offices in Nigeria and some web-based information/site visits undertaken to some selected mining operations cut across minerals types and their location in Nigeria. Further experience from other EITI countries has been collected as well as discussions with the International EITI secretariat in Oslo in Norway.

Further, a number of meetings and interviews with key stakeholders were carried out. The objective of these interviews and meetings was partly to inform the stakeholders about NEITI and partly to discuss a number of issues relating to including the solid mineral sector in the EITI programme in Nigeria.

Finally, a number of key government entities were requested to provide data on the flow of revenue from the solid mineral sector and the companies were requested to provide information on the fees and duties involved in present activities.

It is important to understand that the solid mineral sector in Nigeria is dominated by Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining (ASM) and that no Large-Scale Mining (LSM) is operating at the moment. Large-scale operators, such as cement manufacturers and construction companies, whose primary activity is not mining, are observed to be operating quarries for the production of mainly limestone, stone aggregates mostly for their own production.

In terms of volume of royalties, VAT and CIT paid, the large-scale construction companies operating quarries (granite and sand) and cement companies having mining operations for limestone, laterite and clay were observed to be paying the most.

Only a few mining operators in metaliferous minerals (gold, lead, zinc and tantalite), industrial minerals (gypsum, barite, diatomite and bentonite) and gemstones were observed to be of a size comparable to the two sectors above.

Based on interviews with stakeholders, it is clear that there is a broad consensus among stakeholders about the need for inclusion of the solid mineral sector in the NEITI work. Many

stakeholders observed that the success of NEITI in the petroleum sector is a clear indication as to why the solid mineral sector should now be included in the EITI process in Nigeria.

However, there is a lack of knowledge as to what an EITI programme would specifically entail among the mining operators as well as the "new" government entities and CSOs. If the NEITI wishes to commit to implementing an EITI programme, one of the immediate tasks would be to bring a broad group of stakeholders together in order to sensitize them on what EITI implementation requires.

Based on the outcome of this study the Consultant would like to make the following recommendations:

That NEITI considers the expansion of the NSWG and the three Committees based on the findings in this report and the recommendations from the Stakeholder Workshop.

That NEITI uses the amount of royalty paid as materiality point ; that is use ? **5,000,000.00** paid in 2010 as a threshold (materiality level) for companies to be included in the audit of the solid mineral sector. The lessons learnt from the first audit of the solid mineral sector will provide NEITI with a good background to consider a possible expansion of the number of companies involved.

The materiality level suggested will include 19 companies in the construction sector and the cement industry. It will not include any companies extracting metalliferous minerals (gold, lead, zinc and tantalite). It is important to emphasise that "solid mineral sector" covers all minerals and rocks extracted and not only "traditional mining" of metalliferous minerals.


That NEITI finalises the selection process for the announced "Financial audit of the solid mineral sector" and prepares the necessary review of the ToR based on the findings in this report.

That NEITI considers establishing a dialog with the MMSD to discuss modalities of ensuring that the number of collectable fees and duties from the solid mineral sector do not stifle operations in general.


A final draft of this report was presented at a NEITI's Stakeholder Workshop in Kaduna on 22nd of September, 2011. The consultant and NEITI had prepared a program, which intended to provide an introduction to the work of NEITI as well as the preliminary conclusions and recommendations of this project. The agenda for the workshop is shown in Appendix H.

A large number of the key stakeholders in the solid mineral sector in Nigeria participated in the workshop and the presentations were followed by a very lively and constructive discussion among the participants.

The conclusions and recommendations in the draft report were supported by the participants. In general the participants observed that the success of NEITI in the petroleum sector is a clear indication as to why the solid minerals sector should now be included in the EITI process in Nigeria.



Barite mining site in Azara in Nasarawa State, Nigeria



Gold mining site in Russo in Kaduna State, Nigeria.

2

Background of Solid Minerals Sector in Nigeria

This chapter provides a short history of the solid mineral sector in Nigeria and a description of the government entities involved in the flow of revenue from the mining operators to the government accounts.

Recent history of the solid mineral sector in Nigeria

Mining in Nigeria is over 2,400 years old with initial mining taking place in the form of artisanal mining as practiced by communities while searching for natural resources within their environment for their social and economic benefits. This was the case with the ancient civilizations as seen in the Nok Culture (340 BC), the Igbo Ukwu bronze civilization (705 AD), Ife and Benin Bronze works flourished between 1163–1200 AD and 1630–1648 AD, respectively, using basic clays, base metals and gold amongst others.

Organized mining started in Nigeria around 1903 following the commissioning of the minerals surveys of the Southern and Northern protectorates in that year. Organized mining of Cassiterite and its associated minerals like tantalite and columbite started in 1905 by the Royal Niger Company in Jos, Plateau State while coal exploration and mining commenced in 1906.

The Geological Survey of Nigeria was established as a department of the government in 1919 to take over the work of the survey teams started in 1903.

Government established the Nigerian Coal Corporation in 1950, the Nigerian Mining Corporation (NMC) in 1972 with activities starting in 1973 and the National Iron Ore Mining Company (NIOMCO), Itakpe in 1979.

The NMC was mandated by decree 25 of 1972 establishing it to acquire, prospect, procure and dispose minerals found in Nigeria excluding coal, petroleum, and iron ore. Nigerian Coal Corporation was responsible for coal exploration and exploitation, and NIOMCO was given the responsibility to produce iron ore for the country's steel plants in Ajaokuta and Aladja.

While the first two have been scrapped by Government with most of its subsidiary companies privatized, the third organization is yet to be privatized but is currently not producing due to government indecision as to what should be its fate.

Solid minerals mining in Nigeria before the establishment of the Nigerian Mining Corporation in 1972 was dominated by the private sector, especially in tin production, with Government only facilitating mining activities through the provision of infrastructure in mine fields as well as collecting royalties, rents and other related rates from mining operators.

Nigeria was at one time the largest exporter of columbite and number eight in tin production in the world.

The nationalization policy of the early 70s, which resulted in foreign company owners leaving the Nigerian mining sector, led to a sharp drop in mineral production, but with the discovery of petroleum (1958) and the global energy crisis in the seventies, the attention of the Government finally shifted from solid mineral sector to the petroleum sector resulting in very poor activities in the sector.

The nationalization policy coupled with the drop in tin prices of 1985, which caused so many jobs to be lost in the mine fields as well as the introduction of the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) in Nigeria in the 1980s, resulted in the re-emergence of artisanal and small-scale mining (ASM) in the area of metal and gemstone production. Other reasons for the increase in metal and gemstone ASM were the civil war (1967–70), a massive Naira devaluation, which resulted in retrenchments and labour movement coupled with an increasing crave for foreign exchange, which created a ready market for the export of ASM-mined products led by intermediate traders and mineral smugglers.

The Mineral Ordinance of 1946, the Coal Ordinance of 1950 as well as the Explosives Act of 1964 and the Explosives Regulations of 1967 provided the legal framework for the development of solid minerals in Nigeria before the enactment of the Minerals and Mining Act, No. 34 of 1999. This act was later replaced by the Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act 2007 for the purpose of regulating all aspects of exploration and exploitation of solid minerals in Nigeria. The Nigerian Minerals and Mining Regulations were produced in 2011 to guide the implementation of the 2007 Act.

The mineral sector in Nigeria is currently dominated by artisanal and small-scale mining operations, mainly informal, working with rudimentary methods and limited technical training, social provision or environmental consideration. It is only in quarrying that large-scale operations exist with the construction companies (stone aggregates and laterite) and cement manufacturers (limestone, coal, etc) dominating.

The desire by Government to diversify the national economy through solid mineral exploitation amongst others, led to the creation of the ministry of Solid Minerals Development in 1995, now Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD) with the mandate of ensuring full exploration and exploitation of the abundant solid mineral potentials of the country.

The Government's wish to attract foreign investors to the Nigerian solid mineral sector has resulted in

- Increased mineral exploration activities arising from the creation of the Nigerian Geological Survey Agency which successfully carried out a geophysical survey of the country;
- The creation of the Mining Cadastre Office charged with transparent administration of mineral titles on a first-come-first-serve and use-or-lose-it basis resulting in an increase in mineral title acquisition by both local and international mining operators;
- Increasing the capacity of ministry staff to carry out designated functions as well as increasing the capacity of the ASM to carry out mining in a sustainable manner through the activities of the Sustainable Management of Mineral Resources Project (SMMRP);
- Enactment of relevant laws and regulations needed to regulate mineral exploration and exploitation activities in a transparent manner;

The Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act of 2007

The important decision to extend the EITI principles to the solid minerals sector.


The MMSD had identified thirty-four minerals of economic importance in Nigeria across the six regional mining zones. Every State, apart from Bayelsa is said to contain areas of mineral wealth. Within the minerals, Government has highlighted a number of strategic minerals that have the potential to contribute significantly to Nigeria's economic development. These include b arite, gold, bitumen, iron ore, lead/zinc, coal and limestone.

Revenue streams in the solid mineral sector

Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD)

The Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD) was established in 1985 as an attempt by the Nigerian Government to spur the rapid and beneficial development of the country's solid mineral resources. The Ministry has been set up to unlock the economic potentials of the solid minerals sub-sector. The Ministry is the principal organ for information, policy and regulatory oversight in the solid mineral sector in Nigeria.

Figure 1: Structure of Ministry of Mines and Steel Development



MMSD is currently structured in a manner that mirrors its desire to be a more market-oriented department of Government. As a knowledge-based Ministry, MMSD realizes the importance of its role to provide reliable baseline geosciences data as well as its role as administrator and regulator of the sector. To effectively discharge its mandate, MMSD has been restructured with greater emphasis on strengthening its information and enforcement responsibilities.

Box 1: The mandate of the MMSD:

1. Exploring the solid mineral resource of the nation
2. Advising government on the formulation and execution of laws and regulations guiding the various stages of prospecting, quarrying and mining
3. Providing information and knowledge for enhancing investment in the solid mineral sector
4. Regulating the solid minerals sector
5. Handling sale and consumption of solid minerals in the country, through the issuance of permits, licenses, leases and collection of rents, fees and royalties
6. Generating appropriate revenue for the Government

As regards collecting revenue from mining companies and individuals, three entities of the ministry are involved.

The Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MCO) has exclusive responsibility for administering mineral titles in accordance with the following statutory guidelines:

Considers applications for mineral titles and permits, issues, suspends and upon written approval of the Minister, revoke any mineral title;

Receives and disposes applications for the transfer, renewal, modification, relinquishment of mineral titles or extension of areas;

Maintains a chronological record of all applications for mineral titles in a Priority Register which is to be specifically used to ascertain the priority and registration of applications for exclusive rights or vacant area;

Maintains a general register which is to be used for all other types of applications where registration of the priority is not required.

A more detailed description of MCO and its revenue collected is provided in Appendix C.

In line with section 46 of the Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act, 2007, the right to search for, or exploit minerals in Nigeria, is governed by one of the following mineral titles:

- Reconnaissance Permit
- Exploration Licence
- Small Scale Mining Lease
- Mining Lease
- Quarry Lease
- Water Use Permit.

The relevant mining titles and their requirements are as in appendices A and B.

The relevant fees collected by the MCO on behalf of Government, which are non-refundable, are found in appendix B.

Table1: Revenue collected by MCO for 2010.

Fees	Rate
Registration fee	₦14,722,300
Annual service fee	₦322,879,200
Information and map printing	₦204,700
Transfers/assignment	₦3,580,000
Total revenue collected by MCO for 2010	₦341,386,200

The Mines Inspectorate Department (MID) is responsible for, amongst others, the supervision of overall exploration and exploitation activities as well as collecting royalties and other operational fees on behalf of Government. The department especially oversees the safe use of explosives in the mineral sector.

Table 2. The types of fees as empowered by the *Minerals and Mining Act of 2007* and the *Minerals and Mining Regulations 2011* collected by the MID.

S/No	Fees	Rate
1	Royalty	Various as attached in Appendix D
2	Permit to deposit tailings	
3	Permit to export minerals for commercial purposes	
4	Permit to export mineral samples for analysis	
5	Permit to possess and purchase minerals	
6	Registration of accredited agents for movement of minerals	
7	Permit to import explosives	
8	Blasting certificate	
9	Licence to manufacture explosives	
10	Permit to erect a magazine	
11	Licence to buy explosives	
12	Licence to sell explosives	
13	Explosives Magazine Licence	
14	Licence for storage of explosives	
15	Blasting certificates	
16	Penalties for contravening the provisions of the Explosives Act of 1964 and Explosives Regulations of 1967	

The list of royalties and fees for explosives for 2010 collected by MID contains 515 companies. The total amount collected in 2010 for Royalties and explosives is shown in table 3.

Table 3: Royalties and Explosive fee collected by MID in 2010

2010	TOTAL
ROYALTY	₦837.580.298
EXPLOSIVES FEE	₦15.668.000

The Consultant has analysed the list of royalties and prepared a table of companies paying more than ₦ 5,000.000 in royalty as well as companies paying more than ₦ 2,000.000 in royalty.

Table 4: Analysis of royalties for 2010.

	No. of companies	% of total 2010 royalty
₦2,000,000+	35	88%
₦5,000,000+	19	83 %

This provides a n indication of a possible threshold to be used as “materiality level”. The analysis shows that 88% of the total royalty are from companies paying more than? 2,000,000 per year and 83% of the total royalty are from companies paying more than? 5,000,000 per year, see Appendices G and H.

The Artisanal and Small -Scale Mining Department (ASMD) is given the responsibility of organizing, supporting and assisting small-scale mining operations as well as registering and administering ASM operators and mineral buying centres.

Fees collected by the ASM Department on behalf of Government include the registration fee for ASM association and fees related to buying centres, see table 5.

Table 5: Revenue collected by ASM Department for 2010.

Fees	Rate
Application for ASM registration	₦5,000.00
Application for registration of mineral buying centre per mineral	₦50,000.00
Application for annual renewal of buying centre licence	₦50,000.00
Total revenue collected in 2010	₦3,600,000.00

The Nigerian Geological Survey Agency (NGSA). NGSA was established by the Federal Government of Nigeria as a parastatal of former Ministry of Solid Minerals Development (MSMD) now Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD) in July 2000. It started operation in May 2003. The Agency is backed by an Act of Parliament dated 22nd May 2006.

NGSA has offices in several places in Nigeria. One of these is the National Geosciences Research laboratory (NGRS) in Kaduna.

NGSA provides national geo -science information service to the nation’s public and private sectors, to governmental and non -governmental organizations and to individuals within the population at large. Such information is fundamental to the sustainable development of the nation’s earth resources and the protection of its people from a wide variety of natural hazards.

NGSA collects revenues from sales of maps, analyses and other services.

Table6: Revenue collected by NGSA for 2010.

Year	Total
2010	₦8,442,435

The Nigerian Institute of Mining and Geosciences (NIMG) was established by the Federal Government of Nigeria and funded by SMMRP to become an international centre of excellence and a unique postgraduate institute for training and research in mining and geosciences:

NIMG is set up to provide manpower training and institutional capacity building for the mining sector.

NIMG provides a new class of highly trained and professional mining engineers and geoscientists with practical exposure and technical skills required to prepare them adequately for the new developments in the Nigerian mining industry.

NIMG conducts focused, need-driven research in mining and geosciences and provides practical laboratory and field exposures to university professors and postgraduate students.

The Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act of 2007. The Minerals and Mining Decree is the only legislation related to the management of the solid mineral resources in Nigeria. It replaced the 1999 Minerals and Mining Act, which again replaced the Mineral Act of 1946.

National Minerals and Metals Policy 2008. The National Minerals and Metals Policy prepared in 2008 by the Ministry of Mines and Steel Development provides strategic guidance on the management of mineral resources and metals. It can be considered the strategic basis for the Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act from 2007 and an update of the Seven Year Strategic plan for Solid Minerals Development in Nigeria (2002–2009) and the National Policy on Solid Minerals Development from 1988. As is apparent from the name, it contains two separate policies, one for minerals and one for metals.

Nigerian Minerals and Mining Regulations 2011. This document provides a good interpretation of the Mining Act of 2007 and guidelines for operations in the solid minerals sector.

Federal Ministry of Environment (FMoE)

The activities and functions of the FMoE incorporates both environmental oversight (for instance through activities such as EIA review, monitoring of soil and water quality) and resource management functions (for instance forestry, rangeland management, drought and desertification control, erosion and flood control and coastal zone management).

Another important function of FMoE is monitoring the environment and using this to adjust their policy. Even though data collection and management is the responsibility of all technical departments, there is no central data collation/management and the data are segregated, limiting their meaningful usage in environmental planning, which is probably the reason for the lack of report on a state of the environment for Nigeria.

The EIA system in Nigeria is the single most important tool for environmental management in Nigeria. The law provides FMoE with the implementation mandate and requires that the process of EIA be mandatorily applied to all major development projects. This means right from the planning

stage to ensure that likely environmental problems are anticipated prior to project implementation and addressed throughout the project cycle, including appropriate mitigation measures to address the inevitable consequences of development.

Table 7: Revenue collected by FMOE as registration fee for EIAs for 2010.

Year	Total
2010	₦450,000

Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN)

Central Bank of Nigeria collects revenue for Nigerian Exports Supervision Scheme (NEES), which is 0.5% of the value of the exported goods. The total amount collected for export of goods related to solid mineral is shown in table 9.

Table 8: Revenue collected by CBN as NEES fee for 2010.

Year	Total
2010	₦74,977,034.18

Office of the Accountant-General of the Federation (OAGF)

The Office of the Accountant-General of the Federation is a functional agency within the total administration/organizational arrangements for the management and control of the finances of the Federation. The broad objective of the Office is to maintain a pragmatic and modern financial management in the public sector of the economy and this is achieved through the following goals:

- To ensure the general supervision, management and control of the public finances of the Federation,
- To ensure proper management of the Consolidated Revenue Fund (CRF),
- To ensure adequate management and control of Government's investments,
- To ensure proper management and control of the Development Fund,
- To ensure proper monitoring and accounting for the Federation Account and the Independent Revenue of the Federal Government,
- To give premium to accountability and to prudent management of resources through a proper public accounting system which records monetary transactions and financial events, classifies, summarizes and interprets all Government operations and activities involving the

disbursement of Government funds; and provide useful information to assess Government performance and stewardship.

Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS)

The role of Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) is to operate an efficient and transparent tax system that optimizes tax revenue collection and voluntary compliance .

The Federal Inland Revenue Service collects a number of different taxes from companies in the solid minerals sector. For this study the focus is on Company Income Tax (C.I.T), Value Added Tax (V.A.T), Education Tax and Withholding Tax.

NB: It is important to note that especially for the construction companies and the companies in the cement industry it will not be possible to separate "tax" for activities related to solid mineral activities. The numbers provided by FIRS are from all activities in the relevant companies. On the other hand, the numbers from FIRS will give an idea of the size of the industry needing the solid mineral-related activities to carry out their core business.

Nigerian Customs Service (NCS)

The Nigerian Customs Service collects duties for imported goods based on the Tariff which contains nearly 6000 categories of goods. NCS has told the Consultant that no raw materials are imported to Nigeria. The imported goods all have some level of value adding.

Revenue Mobilization, Allocation and Fiscal Commission (RMAFC)

RMAFC (the Commission) is an autonomous and permanent institution created by Section 153- subsection (1) of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (the 1999 Constitution). It derives its powers and constitutional functions from paragraph 32 of Part I of the Third Schedule to the 1999 Constitution. The Commission accordingly has been vested, constitutionally, with powers and responsibilities to:

- Monitor the accruals into and disbursement of revenue from the Federation Account;
- Review from time to time the revenue allocation formulae and principles in operation to ensure conformity with changing realities, provided that any revenue formula which has been accepted by an Act of the National Assembly shall remain in force for a period of not less than five years from the date of commencement of the Act;
- Advise the Federal, State and Local Governments on fiscal efficiency and methods by which their revenue is to be increased;
- Determine the remuneration appropriate to political office holders, including the President, Vice-President, Governors, Deputy Governors, Ministers, Commissioners, Special Advisers, Legislators and the holders of the offices mentioned in Sections 84 and 124 of the Constitution; and
- Discharge such other functions as are conferred on the Commission by the constitution or any Act of the National Assembly.

It is important to emphasise that the Commission does not collect any revenue for Government accounts.

State Governments

The mining operators pay to State Governments the following fees:

Surface Rent
Pay as You Earn (P.A.Y.E)

The Consultant has not had the resources to contact all states and collect information about the amount collected as Surface Rent and P.A.Y.E. from the solid minerals sector.

Local Government Councils

The mining operators pay to the Local Government Council the following fee:


Property Rates

The Consultant has not had the resources to contact all local government councils and collect information about the amount collected as Property Rent from the solid minerals sector.

Below is a table of the major fees and duties collected in the solid mineral sector. A comprehensive list of fees collected by Government and its agencies from mining companies and operators as obtained during meetings / interviews with government agencies and operators is outlined in appendix E.

Table 9: Overview of major fees collected in the solid minerals sector

Revenue	Paid to/collected by
Mineral Royalties	Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD/MID)
Fees for Explosives	Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD/MID)
Registration Fee for Mining Association	Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD/ASM)
Registration Fee for Buying Centre	Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD/ASM)
Registration fee for Reconnaissance Permit	Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MMSD/MCO)
Registration fee for Exploration License	Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MMSD/MCO)
Registration fee for Small-Scale Mining Lease	Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MMSD/MCO)
Registration fee for Mining Lease	Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MMSD/MCO)
Registration fee for Mining Lease	Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MMSD/MCO)
Registration fee for Water Use Permit	Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MMSD/MCO)
Annual Service Fees	Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MMSD/MCO)
Registration Fee for EIA	Federal Ministry of Environment (FMoE)
Value Added Tax (V.A.T.)	Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS)
Corporate Income Tax (C.I.T.)	Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS)
Withholding Tax	Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS)
Education Tax	Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS)
NEES	Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN)
Import Duties	Nigerian Customs Service (NCS)
Surface Rent	State Governments
Pay as You Earn (PAYE)	State Governments
Property Rates	Local Government Councils



Lead-Zinc mining site in Nahuta in Gombe State, Nigeria.

The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)

Background

The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) was established in 2002. Since then the EITI has become a well-established and well-recognized broad-based global coalition of resource-rich developing countries, donors, major companies, civil society groups, and investors. Countries implementing EITI commit to publishing all payments made by petroleum and mining companies to governments, and all revenues received by governments from those companies. EITI implementing countries also commit to involving civil society closely in the design and monitoring of the EITI process.

Box 2: What is the EITI?

The EITI sets a global standard for transparency in oil, gas and mining. It is...

An effort to make natural resources benefit all

3.5 billion People live in countries rich in oil, gas and minerals. With good governance the exploitation of these resources can generate large revenues to foster growth and reduce poverty. However, when governance is weak, it may result in poverty, corruption, and conflict. The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI) aims to strengthen governance by improving transparency and accountability in the extractives sector.

The EITI supports improved governance in resource-rich countries through the verification and full publication of company payments and government revenues from oil, gas and mining.

A coalition of governments, companies and civil society

The EITI is a coalition of governments, companies, civil society groups, investors and international organizations. This is reflected not only in the EITI processes in the countries implementing the EITI, but also on international level.


A standard for companies to publish what they pay and for governments to disclose what they receive

The EITI has a robust yet flexible methodology that ensures a global standard is maintained throughout the different implementing countries. The EITI Board and the international Secretariat are the guardians of that methodology. Implementation itself, however, is the responsibility of the individual countries. The EITI, in a nutshell, is a globally developed standard that promotes revenue transparency at the local level.

Source: EITI Secretariat (2011)

Core standards

International EITI policy and standards are based on the EITI Principles which establish the basic tenets of the Initiative and the EITI Criteria, which outline the minimum outcome of an EITI programme.



Box3: The EITI Criteria

Implementation of EITI must be consistent with the criteria below:

1. Regular publication of all material oil, gas and mining pay ments by companies to governments (“payments”) and all material revenues received by govern ments from oil, gas and mining companies (“revenues”) to a wide audience in a publicly accessible, comprehensive and comprehensible manner.
2. Where such audits do not already exist, payments and revenues are the subject of a credible, independent audit, applying international auditing standards.
3. Payments and revenues are reconciled by a credible, inde pendent ad ministrator, applying international auditing standards and with publication of the administrator’s opinion regarding that reconciliation including discrepancies, should any be identified.
4. This approach is extended to all companies including state-owned enterprises.
5. Civil society is actively engaged as a participant in the design, monitoring and evaluation of this process and contributes towards public debate.
6. A public, financially sustainable work plan for all the above is developed by the host government, with assistance from the international financial institutions where required, including measurable targets, a time table for implementation, and an assessment of potential capacity constraints.

Source: EITI Secretariat (2011)

Benefits of implementing the EITI

In general, the EITI programme can help governments and other stakeholders—companies, civil society groups, investors, media, and etc.—to systematically report on, review and assess what being paid by companies to (and received by) government agencies. By developing such a programme with the involvement of all stakeholders, accountability of the government and companies is increased. Some of the key benefits of being an EITI-implementing country are mentioned below

Demonstrating a national commitment to transparency

A decision to implement EITI will send a clear signal to all stakeholders that a government is serious about the transparent and accountable payment and receipt of revenue from the extractive industry. Because EITI is an international standard, a country like Nigeria, which is listed as being “EITI compliant” is meeting a series of internationally agreed criteria on improving transparency (with performance independently monitored via validation).

More efficient revenue collection

By increasing scrutiny of payments and revenues, EITI programmes sometimes lead to more efficient tax collection from extractive industry companies. By making payments and revenues public, it can also make it easier to detect corruption.

A systematic framework for collaboration

Greater accountability of governments, companies, and civil society via EITI can improve trust between these groups. By providing a platform for communication between all stakeholders, EITI can help develop consensual solutions to problems. Doing this can reduce the risk of conflict and promotes stability – essential to promoting a favourable investment climate and ultimately sustainable economic development.

Improving sovereign and corporate ratings

By producing regular EITI reports on payments and revenues, a country may be able to improve the credit worthiness of the government and of companies. Sovereign credit ratings are based on a country's medium-term ability to meet its financial obligations, so the more information about revenues in the public domain, the greater the ability of financial institutions and rating agencies to assess a country's credit-worthiness.

Providing a basis for follow-up on public engagement

By clearly stating what has been paid to different government agencies (and in some cases to sub-national levels of government), citizens can then organize themselves to hold those agencies accountable for how these revenues are used in public expenditure programmes. Government budget monitoring is an important activity which can complement an EITI programme, but it is difficult to carry out in a meaningful way, in the absence of reliable information on revenue.

Corporate risk management

Benefits to companies and investors centre on mitigating political and reputational risks. Political instability caused by opaque governance is a clear threat to investments. In extractive industries,

where investments are capital intensive and dependent on long -term stability to generate returns, reducing such instability is beneficial to business. Transparency of payments made to a government can also help to demonstrate the contribution that their investment makes to a country.

EITI implementing countries

At the time of this report, some 28 countries from Latin America, Africa, Europe and Asia had committed to implementing the EITI. A list of these countries can be found in Box 4 below.

Box 4: EITI Countries as of August 2011

Compliant Countries

Azerbaijan	Niger
Central African Republic	Nigeria
Ghana	Norway
Kyrgyz Republic	Timor-Leste
Liberia	Yemen (suspended)
Mongolia	

Candidate Countries

Afghanistan	Kazakhstan
Albania	Madagascar
Burkina Faso	Mali
Cameroon	Mauritania
Chad	Mozambique
Côte d'Ivoire	Peru
Democratic Republic of Congo	Republic of the Congo
Gabon	Sierra Leone
Guatemala	Tanzania
Guinea	Togo
Indonesia	Trinidad and Tobago
Iraq	Zambia

Other Countries

Equatorial Guinea	São Tomé and Príncipe
Ethiopia	Ukraine


Source: EITI Secretariat (2011)

A country that has fully and to the satisfaction of the EITI Board met the five sign-up requirements becomes a Candidate Country. These requirements are explained in the EITI Rules. Once a country has obtained the candidate status it has two and a half years to be validated as a Compliant Country.

International governance structure

The EITI is an international initiative with its own independent board and secretariat. EITI implementation is supported by a number of countries, international financial institutions, civil society organisation, extractive companies as well as some international organisations, see below.

Figure 2: The governance structure of EITI.



The EITI Board

The EITI Board is the key decision-making body for the Initiative, and is headed by an elected chair. The current Chairman of the Board is the Rt. Hon. Clare Short. The membership of the EITI Board is determined at the EITI Conference. The board also works with various smaller sub-committees covering specific issues.

The International EITI Secretariat

The Secretariat is the first point of contact for all organizations involved and interested in the EITI. It is an independent Secretariat staffed by internationally recruited staff and is based in Oslo, Norway. It is funded by supporting countries and certain members of the EITI Board.

The EITI Conference

The Conference is held every two years and is the major international gathering of all stakeholders involved in the Initiative globally. It meets to agree on major EITI policy issues; to share experience on EITI implementation; and to elect new members of the EITI Board.

International supporters of the EITI principles

International Financial Institutions

A growing number of international financial institutions, insurers and credit agencies recognize that they have an important role to play in contributing towards transparency and accountability in the extractives sector. These include: World Bank Group, AFDB, ADB, EBRD and IMF attending meetings of the EITI Board as observers and participating in the EITI Conferences.

Supporting Countries

A number of governments, including those of Australia, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Qatar, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States support the EITI. These governments provide political, technical and financial support to the initiative. These countries attend meetings of the EITI Board as observers and participate in the EITI Conferences.

Civil Society Organisation

A large number of civil society organisations are involved in the EITI processes in each of the EITI implementing countries. Some of these are also involved in the EITI at international level. These include: Publish What You Pay Coalition, Catholic Agency for Overseas Development (CAFOD), Georgia Revenue Watch and NGO Coalition "For Transparency of Public Finance", Global Witness, Oxfam, Open Society Institute, Revenue Watch Institute, Secours Catholique (Caritas) and Transparency International.

The multi-donor trust fund (MDTF)


The MDTF provides technical assistance to countries that are implementing EITI. Through the MDTF, the World Bank Group supports the EITI by administering the funds to provide technical and financial assistance to countries implementing or considering implementing the EITI. The support includes: making EITI advisers and consultants available to governments to assist them in implementation; sharing international best practices; and providing grants to governments to help support EITI implementation. The MDTF receives financial support from the supporting countries, financial institutions and a number of other sources.

Supporting Companies

Over 50 of the world's largest petroleum and mining companies support and actively participate in the EITI process – through their country operations in implementing countries, through international commitments, and through industry associations.

Supporting International Organisations

A number of international organisations have endorsed and provided support to the EITI: United Nations (UN), European Union (EU), African Union (AU) and OECD.



Semi-mechanic mining of barite in Azara in Nasarawa State, Nigeria.

4

The Nigerian EITI (NEITI)

NEITI is the Nigerian part of the global EITI established primarily to enthrone transparency and accountability in the management of payments made by extractive industries to governments and revenues received by governments and other statutory recipients. The NEITI Act of 2007 provides legal backing and empowerment to implement EITI principles and objectives in the Nigeria Extractive sector.

Box 5: The primary objectives of the NEITI:

1. To ensure due process and transparency in the payments made by all Extractive Industry Companies to the Federal Government and statutory recipients.
2. To monitor and ensure accountability in the revenue receipts of the Federal Government from Extractive Industry Companies.
3. To eliminate all forms of corrupt practices in the determination, payment, receipts and posting of revenue accruing to the Federal Government from Extractive Industry Companies.
4. To ensure transparency and accountability by government in the application of resources from payments received from Extractive Industry Companies.
5. To ensure conformity with the principles of Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative.

Source: NEITI Handbook (2011)

Structure of NEITI

Administratively, NEITI is made up of the National Stakeholders Working Group (NSWG) and the NEITI Secretariat.

The National Stakeholders Working Group (NSWG)

The governing body of the NEITI is the National Stakeholders Working Group. This is a multi-sectoral group made up of representatives from civil society, media, Nigeria's geopolitical zones, oil companies and government entities.

The NSWG Functions are as follows:

Formulates policies, programmes and strategies for effective implementation of the objectives and functions of NEITI.

Approves annual budgets and work-plans of the NEITI Secretariat.

Provides policy direction, guidance and ensures periodic review of programme performance of the secretariat.

Constitutes such Special Committees as it considers fit to deal with different aspects of its responsibilities.

Creates departments and engages services of such staff and consultants, as it may consider necessary for effective administration of NEITI.

Ensures engagement of reputable audit firms for the purpose of annual NEITI audits.

Regulates the functions and responsibilities of NEITI.

The NSWG is constituted by the President of Nigeria and members serve on part-time basis. The Executive Secretary of NEITI also serves as Secretary to the NSWG. The Executive Secretary is on full-time appointment. The NSWG is made up of 15 members drawn from the extractive industry, civil society, labour unions in the extractive sector, and representatives of the six geo-political zones of Nigeria.

Box 6: The current members of the NSWG:

Professor Assisi Asobie – Chairman

Mr. Andrew Fawthrop – Oil Producing Trade Section (OPTS) of the Lagos Chamber of Commerce and Representative of the Extractive Industries.

Comrade Shehu Sani – Human Rights Activist and Representative of Civil Society.

Mr Peter Esele – President of Trade Union Congress (TUC) and Representative of Labour Unions in the Extractive Sector.

Mr. Austin Olusegun Oniwon – Group Managing Director, NNPC,

Alhaji Jafaru Aliyu Paki – Former Adviser to the President on Petroleum Matters and Representative of North-West Zone.

Dr M.I. Yahaya – Representative of North-Central Zone.

Alhaji Aliko Mohammed – Representative of North-East Zone.

Mr Leke Alder – Lawyer/Brand Strategist and Representative of South-West Zone.

Mr T. K. Ogoriba – Representative of South-South Zone.

Mazi Sam Ohuabunwa – Representative of South-East Zone.

Accountant-General of the Federation.

Mrs Ifueko Omoigui Okauru – Chairperson, Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS).

Mallam Mahmud Jega – Editor, Daily Trust Newspapers, representing the Media.

Mrs Zainab Shamsuna Ahmed – Secretary to NSWG and Executive Secretary of NEITI.

Source: NEITI Handbook (2011)

A person appointed as member of the NSWG shall hold office for a single term of four years. The Executive Secretary is appointed for a single term of five years, See NEITI Act 2007 for details.

The NEITI Secretariat

The Executive Secretary, Mrs Zainab S. Ahmed is the Chief Executive Officer of NEITI and Head of the NEITI Secretariat.

The Secretariat under the Executive Secretary is responsible for the day-to-day administration of NEITI through the following key departments:

Executive Secretary's Department;
Technical Department
Communications Department; and
Finance and Administration Department.

Major Stakeholders

The present major stakeholders in the purview of NEITI are the Government and its agencies in the petroleum sector, the petroleum companies that exploit these energy resources on behalf of the Government, and the coalition of civil society organisations that put pressure on both Government and the oil companies to give account of their transactions to the people of Nigeria.

Government

The following government agencies in the oil and gas industry are involved in the NEITI process:

Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR)
Nigeria National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC)
Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS)
Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN)
Crude Oil Reconciliation Committee
Petroleum Products Sales Reconciliation Committee
Office of the Accountant-General of the Federation (OAGF)

Companies

The oil companies covered by the NEITI process fall in two categories; the first category is companies in joint ventures with the Federal Government while the second category comprises those simply involved in exploration and production.

Joint Venture Partners

Chevron Nigeria Limited
Elf Petroleum Nigeria Limited
Mobil Producing Nigeria Unlimited
Nigerian Agip Oil Company (NAOC)
Panocean Oil Corporation
Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC)
Texaco Overseas (Nigeria) Petroleum Company

Others

Addax Petroleum
AMNI International Petroleum Development Company
Atlas Petroleum International

Cavendish Petroleum Nigeria
 Chevron Oil Company Nigeria
 Chevron Texaco
 Conoil Producing
 Continental Oil and Gas
 Dubri Oil Company
 Elf Petroleum
 Express Petroleum
 Moni Pulo Petroleum Development Company
 Nigerian Agip Energy & Natural Resources
 Nigerian Agip Exploration
 Nigeria LNG
 Ocean Energy
 Panocean
 Petrobras
 Phillips Oil Company (Nigeria)
 Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Company
 Statoil Nigeria

(This list of companies is not exhaustive).

Civil Society

CSOs are non-governmental organisations primarily involved in advocacy for a free, equitable and better society. Civil Society represents the conscience of any society. These include organised labour, professional associations, student unions and others working in specific thematic areas of interest. In the EITI/NEITI process, the role of civil society is key and unique at all stages.

Apart from having members of civil society on its governing board, NEITI also has a Civil Society Steering Committee, which partners with NEITI on outreach activities. NEITI also has a full time Civil Society Liaison Officer. Civil Society plays a critical role in the campaign for revenue transparency deepening citizens' awareness and holding companies and governments accountable.

The following are members of NEITI - CSO Steering Committee:

- | | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 1. Comrade Shehu Sani | NEITI NSWG |
| 2. Comrade Peter Esele | NEITI NSWG |
| 3. Comrade T.K.W. Ogoriba | NEITI NSWG |
| 4. Barrister Chima Williams | Environmental Rights Action |
| 5. Yakubu Joshua | National Youth Council Youths |
| 6. George-Hill Anthony | Niger Delta Budget Monitoring Group |
| 7. Mimido Achakpa | Women's Right to Education |
| 8. Mohammed Jimoh Yahaya | Nigeria Union of Journalists |
| 9. Comrade Igwe Achese | National Union of Petroleum and Natural Gas Workers (NUPENG) |
| 10. Doyin Odebowale | Nigerian Bar Association (NBA) |
| 11. Engr. Adenike I. Amao | Nigeria Society of Engineers |
| 12. Sani Shehu | Miners Association of Nigeria |

13. Faith Nwadishi	Publish What You Pay (PWYP)
14. To be represented	Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria (ICAN) or Association of National Accountants of Nigeria (ANAN)
15. To be represented	National Association of Persons with Disability
16. Muhammed Mustapha	Coalition for Accountability and Transparency in Extractive Industries, Forestry and Fisheries

All three stakeholder groups and possibly the NSWG will have to be enlarged to include representatives from the solid minerals sector.

NEITI Audits

One of the key statutory functions of NEITI is the conduct of regular audits of the extractive industry sector. The audit is necessary because information about revenue flows in the extractive sector was, hitherto, not made public in Nigeria. This created mistrust, mutual suspicion, hostility and conflict. The majority of Nigerians do not know how much money companies pay to the Government in the form of royalty, profit tax, bonuses etc. Nigerians also do not know how much the Government receives and how the revenue has supported provision of social amenities, to make their lives better. The NEITI audit is therefore a thorough examination process or assessment of audited accounts and activities of all stakeholders in the extractive industry meant to enhance sector efficient/effective and revenue flow management in the industry. The NEITI audit is not an exercise to witch-hunt any person or organization but is designed to maximize the benefits accruing to the people of Nigeria from the natural resources in their land.

The process focuses on generating vital data on revenue flows between Government and the companies and placing the information in the public domain. The goal is to promote dialogue, debate and informed discussions of the issues thrown up by the audit.

NEITI conducts the audit through independent consultants selected through an open, transparent and competitive process. The first audit conducted by NEITI in the oil/gas industry covered the period of 1999–2004. This was followed by another audit covering the period 2005.

Scope of the NEITI Audit

NEITI carries out three main categories of audit as follows:

Financial Audit

This type of audit reconciles financial flows following the chain of custody for finances derived from the sector over a period of time with a view to establishing what was paid and what was received and to identify specific agencies that can be held accountable for any discrepancies. For instance, financial audit reconciles company payments with receipts of the Government's banker, the Central Bank of Nigeria, and with the records of the Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS), the department responsible for petroleum profit tax (PPT) assessments, and the Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR) which is responsible for the monitoring, collection of royalties and for the production data used in the calculation of petroleum profit tax (PPT). Then it samples a number of companies' tax returns and royalty statements to verify the calculations, and the assessments of FIRS and DPR.

Physical Audit

The physical audit tracks the sector's oil and gas and refined product flows. It checks if the extracted volumes are accurately reported and if each company's reported production tallies with the numbers the Government uses for tax and royalty calculations. This takes the audit into some highly technical areas featuring metering, temperature and pressure measurements, as well as the more controversial area of oil theft.

Process Audit:

Process audit examines how key agencies run the business. It explores how the regulator auctions and sells oil blocks. For instance how does DPR conduct upstream licensing bid rounds? It assesses whether NNPC's Crude Oil Marketing Department (COMD) prices the Government's share of equity crude accurately, and how and why other companies are contracted to export this crude oil. The audit also examines NNPC's upstream division, the National Petroleum Investment Management.

Structure of the NEITI Audit Report

The Structure of the NEITI Audit Report depends largely on the decisions of the NSWG, the NEITI Work Plan for the Audit and the Terms of Reference given to the Auditors specifically appointed to conduct the exercise.

However, a typical NEITI Audit Report seeks to provide the following basic information:

Material Revenues and Payments—The report makes disclosures on material revenues, lists all government entities and companies covered and the basis of definition of materiality for revenue streams for covered entities and government agencies.


Discrepancies - The Audit must reveal the discrepancies between what companies reported they paid and what government entities reported they received. It should also identify and publish list of companies that failed to comply.

Recommendations - The Report must contain recommendations on ways to improve on the NEITI Audit, integrity of financial systems, record keeping, accounting practice and other legal issues that can enhance the process.

The audit is usually presented in *aggregated* and *disaggregated* formats for easy understanding and analysis.

Disaggregated format shows what each of the companies paid to government; this means separately identifying payments made by individual companies and the types of payments made by them. NEITI requires companies to provide disaggregated statements for its audits to enable the report to explain clearly and accurately, and reflect a thorough reconciliation of individual company payments with government receipts.

Aggregated format on the other hand collates the same information but without delineating or separating them on the basis of individual receipts or payments. It presents an overall picture of total payments and receipts.



Gold digger's pan used for concentrating heavy metals, here used for concentrating gold.



Gold diggers panning for gold.

Stakeholders Feedback

Methodology

For this section of the scoping study a number of meetings and interviews with key stakeholders were carried out. The objective of these interviews and meetings was partly to inform the stakeholders about NEITI and partly to discuss a number of issues relating to the solid minerals sector in the EITI programme in Nigeria.

In addition, a number of government entities were requested to provide data on the flow of revenue from the solid mineral s sector and the companies were requested to provide information on the fees and duties paid to Government and its agencies for solid minerals extraction and marketing activities.

The discussions with the stakeholders contained among others the following:

Their perceptions of the level of transparency which presently exists between Government and extractive industry companies in Nigeria;

What disclosure – if any – of extractive industry revenues and payments already exist;

What barriers there might be to the expansion of the EITI programme in Nigeria to include the solid minerals sector;

What actions and resources would be required to overcome these barriers and to expand the NEITI programme in Nigeria;

Which stakeholders would need to be involved in the successful implementation of such an expansion of the programme; and

Their perceptions of what the benefits (and costs) of adopting the expanded NEITI.

NEITI has been active in the petroleum sector for some years and the majority of the government entities already work with NEITI and provide valuable data to NEITI.

It is important to understand that the solid mineral s sector in Nigeria is dominated by Artisanal and Small-scale Mining (ASM) and that no Large -Scale Mining (LSM) is operating at the moment. Large scale operators, such as cement manufacturers and construction companies, whose primary activity is not mining, are observed to be operating quarries for the production of mainly limestone, stored aggregates mostly for their own production.

In terms of volume of royalties, VAT and CIT paid, the large-scale construction companies operating quarries (granite and sand) and cement companies having mining operations for limestone, laterite and clay were observed to be paying the most.

Only a few mining operators in metalliferous minerals (gold, lead, zinc and tantalite), industrial minerals (gypsum, barite, diatomite and bentonite) and gemstones were observed to be of a size comparable to the two sectors above.

Perception of transparency in the solid minerals industries in Nigeria

Government's views

The Government stakeholders already involved in the NEITI work in the petroleum sector were very supportive for the new development of including the solid minerals sector. The NEITI work in the petroleum sector has been very successful and they all expressed willingness to participate and provide the necessary data.

The Government stakeholders not involved in the NEITI work in the petroleum sector were briefed on the concept of EITI and the work carried out by NEITI. They were given a clear understanding that NEITI has the full support of the President and that this was a national priority. They all expressed willingness to support NEITI and provide the requested data. Especially the meetings with the departments in MMSD were very encouraging and they have provided good input to this study.

Company's views

In general, the mining companies welcomed the idea of implementing the EITI principles to the solid minerals sector and pledged their support towards its successful implementation.

In African countries having LSM operations, "secret or confidential" development agreements are often used, which create an impression of lack of transparency and accountability. Further, this often raises the question of whether an EITI programme would require the disclosure of confidential commercial agreements between the governments and companies, as well as other proprietary information. The Consultant has not met this kind of concerns from the mining operators in Nigeria.

Some companies complained about the number of fees and duties to be paid to the Government, (see appendix E) and requested that the Government should try to simplify the system to reduce the administrative load on the companies.


The problem is not transparency but simplification!

Some companies felt that the fees demanded by "local groups" were a burden to their operations, as they were not listed in the minerals and mining regulations as part of the fees to be collected for mining operations.

Additional issues

The Nigerian Mining Cadastre Office (MCO) reported that a large number of titles have been give out with only a few hundred paying the yearly fee and other fees as stated in the Mining Act of 2007. It is impressive how the MCO is handling the non-payment through announcements in the papers and direct communication with the title holders. The amount of revenue collected by MC has been increasing during the last couple of years and definitely will increase further in the corr years.

Figure 3: Revenue generated from 2006 – 2010, see further explanation in Appendix C, fig. C5.



The Mines Inspectorate Department (MID) collects royalties through the State officers in the states of the federation and a regular audit is carried out by MMSD.

Disclosure of payments and revenue data by mining companies to government agencies

Company interviewees were asked whether they disclosed or were prepared to disclose the levels of payment which they were making to the Government. A large number of the companies interviewed had prepared copies of payments for the interview and expressed a willingness to provide detailed figures of their payments, and payments of their associated mining companies if requested.

The Consultant team did not observe any problems with confidentiality clauses in their payments to the Government. No companies were of the view that their "contracts" were with the Government, and thus they would only disclose information to the Government and not to the general public.

Possible barriers/impediments to the adoption and implementation of EITI

During the course of interviews with the stakeholders, the EITI process was explained to them and they were invited to identify any possible barriers for NEITI to include the solid minerals sector.

Political barriers

There was a mix of opinion amongst stakeholders as to how committed the Government was in its good governance/anti-corruption campaign. On balance the opinion seemed to be that whilst there was genuine senior-level political commitment to anti-corruption efforts, there might still be a number of politicians and officials who would feel threatened by EITI including the solid minerals sector.

On the other hand, NEITI's success in creating the necessary transparency in the flow of revenue and the resultant improvement of the book keeping in the petroleum companies attest to the commitment of the Government to implement EITI principles. In addition, the amount of money involved in the solid minerals sector is considerably smaller than that in the petroleum sector.

Economic barriers

No economic barriers were observed.

Regulatory barriers

A majority of stakeholders noted, that the current regulatory instruments (Nigerian Minerals and Mining Regulations 2011, the Nigerian Minerals Act of 2007 and the Explosives Act, 1964 and the Explosives Regulation, 1967) provide adequate public disclosure requirements on mining operators

Some stakeholders noted that some of the construction companies and companies in the cement industry are subsidiaries of larger multinational holding companies and that this ownership structure might limit how much they disclose.

Institutional capacity barriers

Some stakeholders noted that there were severe capacity constraints in a number of the relevant government entities. These capacity constraints, they say, might affect the government's ability to

properly gather and process information; adequately audit mining companies and disclose the information to the public.

Several stakeholders noted that the main effect of this lack of capacity is the inability of government agencies to advance from merely gathering information on what is paid to carrying out the greater scrutiny that would be required to properly determine what should be paid. In short, there is a perception that there is an over-dependence on company self-assessment of taxation and costs, not to mention how to address more technical issues such as foreign exchange gains and losses; timing differences and transfer.

Actions and resources required to address identified barriers

Interviewed stakeholders recommended a wide variety of solutions to remove or mitigate the barriers identified above, including:

Enhancing the institutional capacity of the Ministry of Mines and Steel Development in the area of staffing and training;

A number of Government entities mentioned the need for capacity building programmes to better understand the solid minerals sector. The focus had been on the petroleum sector for the last 2-3 decades and

Several stakeholders mentioned the need for capacity building programmes for civil society to help them better understand how the solid minerals sector works.

The role of stakeholders in the expansion of NEITI activities

Participants noted that there is need to expand the scope of the stakeholders involved in the EITI process in Nigeria to effectively take care of the expansion into the solid minerals sector. In this regard, the major stakeholders in the expanded NEITI should be the Government MDAs in the extractive sector; this group should be expanded to include the Permanent Secretary in the Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD), the Mining Cadastre office (MCO) in MMSD, the Nigerian Geological Survey Agency (NGSA) in MMSD as well as Nigerian Shippers Council (NSC). With regards to the extractive companies, it was observed that while it is easier to manage the companies in the Oil and Gas sector because of their limited numbers, the number of companies involved in the solid minerals sector is very large. Participants therefore recommended that only associations of some of the larger operators, such as associations of construction companies, association of cement industry e.tc., should be included in the expanded stakeholders' arrangement.

As earlier stated, NEITI has a Civil Society Steering Committee, which partners with NEITI on outreach activities. However, it is recommended that it should be expanded with representatives from the following:


- Nigerian Chamber of Commerce, Industry, Mines and Agriculture (NACCIMA)
- Nigerian Mining and Geosciences Society (NMGS)
- Council of Nigerian Mining Engineers and geoscientists (COMEG)

Stakeholders perceived benefits of adopting the EITI

Despite the barriers to implementation identified by stakeholders, nearly all were able to clearly identify why the inclusion of the solid minerals sector in NEITI activities would help to instil transparency and accountability in the sector.

Many stakeholders felt that, there is genuine commitment in government to increasing transparency and accountability in the management of public resources. Moreover, there is considerable public interest in ensuring that either revenues from mining are used to help develop mining communities and/or to ensure that mining companies pay what they are expected to pay.

Finally, many stakeholders observed that the success of NEITI in the petroleum sector is a clear indication as to why NEITI would be able to successfully include the solid minerals sector in Nigeria.



Quarry operation near Abuja (Julius Berger), Nigeria.



Quarry operation near Abuja (Julius Berger), Nigeria.

6

Extension of the EITI into the Solid Minerals Sector

At the outset of this study the NEITI requested that the scoping study should provide a road map for the inclusion of the solid minerals sector in the NEITI work.

Box 7: Requirements for EITI Implementing Countries:

SIGN-UP REQUIREMENTS

The government is required to issue an unequivocal public statement of its intention to implement the EITI.

The government is required to commit to work with civil society and companies on the implementation of the EITI.

The government is required to appoint a senior individual to lead on the implementation of the EITI.

The government is required to establish a multi-stakeholder group to oversee the implementation of the EITI.

The multi-stakeholder group, in consultation with key EITI stakeholders, should agree and publish a fully costed work plan, containing measurable targets and a timetable for implementation and incorporating an assessment of capacity constraints.

PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS

The government is required to ensure that civil society is fully, independently, actively and effectively engaged in the process.

The government is required to engage companies in the implementation of the EITI.

The government is required to remove any obstacles to the implementation of the EITI.

The multi-stakeholder group is required to agree to a definition of materiality and the reporting templates

The organisation appointed to produce the EITI reconciliation report must be perceived by the multi-stakeholder group as credible, trustworthy and technically competent.

The government is required to ensure that all relevant companies and government entities report.

The government is required to ensure that company reports are based on accounts audited to international standards.

The government is required to ensure that government reports are based on accounts audited to international standards.

DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

Companies comprehensively disclose all material payments in accordance with the agreed reporting templates.

Government agencies comprehensively disclose all material revenues in accordance with the agreed reporting templates.

The multi-stakeholder group must be content that the organization contracted to reconcile the company and government figures did so satisfactorily.

The reconciler must ensure that the EITI Report is comprehensive, identifies all discrepancies, where possible, explains those discrepancies, and, where necessary, makes recommendations for remedial actions to be taken.

DISSEMINATION REQUIREMENTS

The government and multi-stakeholder group must ensure that the EITI Report is comprehensible and publicly accessible in such a way as to encourage that its findings contribute to public debate.

REVIEW AND VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS

Oil, gas and mining companies must support EITI implementation.

The government and multi-stakeholder group must take steps to act on lessons learnt, address discrepancies and ensure that EITI implementation is sustainable. Implementing countries are required to submit Validation reports in accordance with the deadlines established.

Source: EITI Secretariat (2011)

The box above describes in detail the steps needed for a new Implementing country to achieve Compliance. NEITI has already achieved Compliance for the petroleum sector at the EITI Board meeting in Paris in March 2011. Consequently, the process to include solid minerals sector will be less demanding and faster.

Committing to the EITI

In deciding on whether to sign up to EITI, governments always consult closely with at least those groups which would be affected by or interested in EITI implementation. Such groups include major international and national extractive industry companies and business associations, local civil society groups and other government agencies.

NEITI has through this Scoping Study had meetings with the key government entities and the Civil Society Groups. Further, a number of private mining companies in Nigeria have been visited and briefed about the EITI concept. Finally, a Stakeholders Workshop was arranged in September 2010 to discuss the draft report from the Scoping Study.

The practice is that once a government has decided to commit itself to EITI, it typically undertakes the following initial steps in line with EITI Criteria and Validation Guide:

Issue an unequivocal public statement of its intention to implement EITI

The Board of NEITI – the NSWG – decided at the meeting of 20 May, 2011 to carry out "A comprehensive audit of the solid minerals sector to cover the period 2007 –2010." The present Scoping Study will provide guidance on the "materiality levels/points" and the companies to be involved in this audit.

Commit to work with civil society and companies on EITI implementation

Apart from having members of civil society on its governing board, NEITI also has a Civil Society Steering Committee, which partners with NEITI on outreach activities. This committee will be expanded with civil society groups related to the solid minerals sector.

Appoint a senior individual to lead EITI implementation

This has already been done by the appointment of Mrs Zainab Ahmed as Executive Secretary of the NEITI.

The government is required to establish a multi-stakeholder group to oversee the implementation of the EITI

The governing body of the NEITI is the National Stakeholders Working Group. This is a multi sectoral group made up of representatives from the civil society, media, Nigeria's geopolitical zones, oil companies and government entities. This might have to be expanded with a few members related to the solid minerals sector.

The multi-stakeholder group, in consultation with key EITI stakeholders, should agree and publish a fully costed work plan, containing measurable targets and a timetable for implementation and incorporating an assessment of capacity constraints

The National Stakeholders Working Group has published a Work plan for 2011.

Involving Stakeholders

A key underpinning principle of EITI is that it is implemented using a participative, multi-stakeholder approach. This means that stakeholders outside of Government— such as extractive industry companies and civil society organizations – are not just consulted as the Initiative progresses, but are actively involved in designing, steering, and governing it.

Who should be represented on the multi-stakeholder steering group?

There is a natural clash of interest in having a steering group which is large enough to be broadly representative of the stakeholders involved and a small group that easily can meet, and take decisions quickly.

How should they be selected?

Since it is very difficult to include everyone who wants to be involved in an EITI programme, governments need to be very careful about ensuring that the nomination process for the steering group is open and transparent. NEITI already has a Steering Committee for “Government”, “Companies” and “Civil Society”.

The Government stakeholders are more or less the same for the petroleum sector and the solid minerals sector. The present Government Committee will have to be expanded with very few new members.

The number of petroleum companies operating in Nigeria is limited and can all be members of the Company Committee. The number of companies involved in the solid minerals sector is very large and as such not all companies can be members of the Company Committee. It is therefore re-commended that only associations of some of the larger operators, such as associations of construction companies, associations of the cement industry etc., are included as members of the Company Committee. The present Civil Society Steering Committee already contains some members operating in the solid minerals sector but will need to be expanded with a very few other organisations.

Deciding the scope of the EITI programme

Different countries have implemented a wide variety of EITI programmes. Very early on in the process all stakeholders will need to decide on how broad or how narrow the EITI programme should be. The four areas in which EITI programmes tend to vary are:

A reconciliation process or an audit process?

A fundamental scoping decision in EITI countries is whether the EITI report will be a reconciliation of payments and revenues (carried out by a firm acting as a reconciler or administrator), or whether it will go further and allow for payments and revenues data to be audited under accepted international auditing standards (i.e. carried out by an appropriately qualified audit company). NEITI has already at the Board meeting of 20 May 2011 decided on an audit of international standards.

What will the materiality level be for payments or company participation?

Individual countries have set their own materiality limits for payments (i.e. the size of payment below which it is excluded for efficiency reasons from the EITI process) or company participation

materiality (i.e. threshold size of company operations below which it is excluded from EITI reporting process). For the petroleum sector NEITI has decided to include all petroleum companies in the EITI process.

As for the solid minerals sector, all other EITI countries except Central African Republic has decided to include only Large-Scale Mining (LSM) operations, which often provide more than 90–95% of total royalties. Nigeria does not have LSM operations and will have to use a comparable indicator for defining the materiality level. Based on the findings in this scoping study it is suggested also to use the amount of royalty paid as a materiality point. It is suggested to use ₦ 5,000,000.00 paid in 2010 as a threshold for companies to be included in the audit of the solid minerals sector (see list of companies in appendix H).

It is worth noting that many EITI countries have evolved the scope of their EITI programme overtime – i.e. that they have begun with limited EITI programmes but have broadened them as the Initiative develops.

Degree of aggregation or disaggregation of data disclosure in EITI Reports

In addition to materiality, a key element of the scope of EITI is the degree of aggregation or disaggregation that an EITI Report contains, with respect to separately identifying – or aggregating – total payments by reporting companies and the types of payments.

The NEITI audit for the petroleum sector is usually presented in *aggregated* and *disaggregated* formats for easy understanding and analysis, (see chapter 4) and it is suggested to use the same format for the solid minerals sector.

Including sub-national or social/community payments


Some EITI countries chose to only report on what companies pay to the national or federal government. Other programmes, however, also cover and require reporting of payments made to sub-national levels of government (e.g. state, district) or to social/community groups.

Developing a work plan

At national level, countries have found that developing a comprehensive work plan for approaching EITI (and its requirements for political commitment and funding) is an important prerequisite for EITI implementation. It is recommended that the EITI work plan contains the following elements:

- Bringing together stakeholders;*
- Removing barriers to implementation;*
- Building capacity in Government;*
- Building capacity in companies and civil society;*
- Producing an EITI report;*
- Communicating the EITI programme;*
- Monitoring and evaluating the EITI programme;*
- Developing and funding the work plan;*

NEITI has already shown that it can, in a professional way, handle the above subjects needed to develop regular yearly work plans.



Diatomite mining Site in Alangafe in Yobe State, Nigeria.

Stakeholders Workshop

A final draft of this report was presented at a NEITI organised Stakeholders Workshop in Kaduna 22nd of September, 2011. The consultant and NEITI had prepared a program, which intended to provide an introduction to the work of NEITI as well as the preliminary conclusions and recommendations of this project. The agenda for the workshop is shown in Appendix H. A large number of the key stakeholders in the solid minerals sector in Nigeria participated in the workshop and the presentations were followed by a very lively and constructive discussion among the participants.


The conclusions and recommendations in the draft report were supported by the participants. In general the participants observed that the success of NEITI in the petroleum sector is a clear indication as to why the solid minerals sector should now be included in the EITI process in Nigeria. The participants raised a number of issues in the solid minerals sector related to training, technical facilities available to assist the sector and production facilities available. These are not specifically related to the Scoping Study, but the consultant recommended that these should be discussed between NEITI and the Ministry of Mines and Steel Development. The full report from the stakeholders' workshop is attached as Appendix J.



Participants in the NEITI Stakeholders Workshop in Kaduna 22nd of September 2011



The Technical Director of NEITI, Engr. Tariye O. George delivers the opening welcome address on behalf of Chairman of the National Stakeholders Working Group (NSWG) Professor Assisi Asobie.




Participants at the Stakeholders Workshop in Kaduna



Faith Nwadishi from PWYP and the two consultants Engr. Umar A. Hassan and Mr. John Tychsen



Participants at the Stakeholders Workshop in Kaduna



Participants at the Stakeholders Workshop in Kaduna



The NEITI team at the Stakeholders Workshop

Conclusions and Recommended next step

Conclusions

Based on the interviews with stakeholders, it is clear that there is a broad consensus among the stakeholders that the inclusion of the solid mineral sector in the NEITI work would be both possible and beneficial.

However, there is a lack of knowledge as to what an EITI programme would specifically entail among the mining operators as well as the "new" government entities and CSOs. If NEITI wishes to commit to implementing an EITI programme, one of the immediate tasks would be to bring a broad group of stakeholders together in order to sensitize them to what EITI implementation requires.

One of the challenges of an EITI programme is the establishment of a multi-stakeholder steering group which is broad enough to be representative, whilst at the same time small enough to be able to meet regularly and make decisions quickly. This has successfully been carried out by NEITI for the petroleum sector and it is not seen to be a problem to expand this group to cover the solid minerals sector.

Many stakeholders felt that there is genuine commitment by Government to ensure transparency and accountability in the management of public resources. Moreover, there is considerable public interest in ensuring that revenues from mining are used to develop mining communities and also that mining companies pay what is expected of them based on their operational outputs.

Finally, many stakeholders observed that the success of NEITI in the petroleum sector is a clear indication that similar intervention by NEITI in the solid minerals sector will yield good results.

Recommendations

Based on the outcome of this study the Consultant would like to make the following recommendations:

That NEITI considers the expansion of the NSWG and the three Committees based on the findings of this report and the recommendations from the Stakeholders Workshop.

Based on the findings in this scoping study it is suggested to use the amount of royalty paid as the materiality point/level. Further, it is recommended that companies who paid more than ₦5,000,000 in 2010 should be included in the audit of the solid mineral sector (see list of companies in appendix H).

Nigeria does not have LSM operations and will have to use a comparable indicator for defining the materiality level.

The lessons learnt from the first audit of the solid minerals sector will provide NEITI with a good background to consider a possible expansion of the number of companies involved.

The materiality level suggested will result in the inclusion of 19 companies in the construction sector and cement industry. It will not include any companies extracting metalliferous minerals (gold, lead, zinc and tantalite). It is important to emphasise that “solid mineral sector” covers all the elements extracted and not only “traditional mining” of metalliferous minerals.

That NEITI finalises the selection process for the announced “Financial audit of the solid mineral sector” and prepares the necessary review of the ToR based on the findings in this report

NEITI should consider establishing a dialog with the MMSD to discuss a simplification of the number of fees and duties in the solid mineral sector.

Appendix A

The breakdown of the relevant titles and their requirements are outlined below:

Reconnaissance Permit (RP)

Conditions / Requirements

The permit is non-exclusive

The permit has a duration of one (1) year and is renewable annually

Duly completed RP application forms (in triplicates)

Description of work area and the activities to be carried out

Attestation of non-conviction of criminal offences under the Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act of 2007

Receipt of payment of N10,000 application processing fee

Evidence of technical competence

Certified true copy of certificate of registration or incorporation

Evidence of financial capability

A valid RP application shall be granted and issued within 30 days of filing application.



Exploration Licence (EL)

Conditions / Requirements

The licence is exclusive and has a maximum area of 200 km²

The licence has duration of three (3) years and is renewable twice for two (2) years each.

Duly completed EL application forms (in triplicates)

Detailed minimum work programme

Evidence of financial capability

Evidence of technical competence

Consent from land owners/land occupiers

Attestation of non-conviction of criminal offences under the Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act of 2007.



Small Scale Mining Lease (SSML), Mining Lease (ML) OR Quarry Lease (QL)

Conditions / Requirements

The three leases are exclusive.

The SSML and ML, respectively, have maximum areas of 3 km² and 50 km², while the QL has a maximum area of 5 km²

The SSML has a duration of five (5) years for alluvial deposit and ten (10) years for lode formation and is renewable for further periods of five (5) years for alluvial formation and ten (10) years for lode formation provided that the holder has complied with the minimum work commitments and that all other legal and regulatory requirements have been met;

The ML has a duration of twenty five (25) years and is renewable for further periods of twenty (20) years provided that the holder has complied with the minimum work commitments and that all other legal and regulatory requirements have been met

The QL has a duration of ten (10) years and is renewable as often as required provided the minimum work obligation is met and renewal application is made three (3) times before the lease expires

Duly completed SSML, ML or QL application forms (in triplicates)

Pre-feasibility study report

Evidence of financial capability

Evidence of technical competence

Consent from land owners/land occupiers

Attestation of non-conviction of criminal offences under the Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act of 2007

Certified true copy of certificate of registration or incorporation

Evidence of payment of N10,000 SSML application processing fee, N50,000 ML application processing fee or N20,000 QL application processing fee

Area specified to be surveyed in accordance with provisions of survey Coordination Act

A valid SSML, ML or QL application shall be granted and issued within 45 days of filing application.



Water Use Permit (WUP)

Conditions / Requirements

Duly completed WUP form (in triplicates)

A copy of the mining title granted

Description of area and water use plan

Agreement with all persons likely to be adversely affected by the grant of the permit

Evidence of payment of N10,000 application processing fee

It has the same duration with the mining title granted

A valid Water Use permit application shall be granted and issued within 7 days from grant of lease approval.

Appendix B

Duration of licences and fees collected by MCO

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION ON TITLES

S/NO	TYPES OF LICENCE	MAXIMUM SIZE	MAX. CADASTRE UNITS (C)	DURATION	PROCESSING TIME
1	Reconnaissance Permit (RP)	Non-Exclusive	Non-Exclusive	1 YEAR (renewable annually)	Not later than 30 DAYS of filing application
2	Exploration Licence	200 km ²	930 (20 Ha)	3 YEARS (renewable twice for 2 years each)	Not later than 30 DAYS of filing application
3	Small Scale Mining Lease (SSML)	3 km ²	25 (500 Ha)	5 YEARS (alluvial) renewable for a further period of 5 years	Not later than 45 DAYS of filing application
4	Mining Lease (ML)	50 km ²	250 (5,000 Ha)	25 YEARS (renewable every 20 years)	Not later than 45 DAYS of filing application
5	Quarry Lease (QLS)	5 km ²	25 (500 Ha)	5 YEARS (renewable as often as required)	Not later than 45 DAYS of filing application
6	Water Use Permit (WUP)			Same with the direction of Lease	Not later than 7 days from grant of lease approval

1. APPLICATION PROCESSING FEE

a) Reconnaissance Permit	N10,000
b) Exploration Licence	N20,000
c) Small Scale Mining Lease	N10,000
d) Mining Lease	N50,000
e) Quarry Lease	N20,000
f) Water Use Permit	N10,000

2. ANNUAL SERVICE FEE (PER CADASTRE UNIT)

a) Reconnaissance Permit	FREE
b) Exploration Licence	N2,000
c) Small Scale Mining Lease	N10,000
d) Mining Lease	N25,000
e) Quarry Lease	N20,000
f) Water Use Permit	N10,000

3. PROCESSING OF RENEWAL APPLICATION

a) Reconnaissance Permit	N10,000
b) Exploration Licence	N30,000
c) Small Scale Mining Lease	N30,000
d) Mining Lease	N250,000
e) Quarry Lease	N50,000
f) Water Use Permit	N20,000

4. PENALTY FOR LATE RENEWAL APPLICATION

a) Reconnaissance Permit	N10, 000
b) Exploration Licence	N100, 000
c) Small Scale Mining Lease	N100, 000
d) Mining Lease	N100, 000
e) Quarry Lease	N100, 000

5. APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT (PROCESSING)

a) Exploration Licence	N40, 000
b) Small Scale Mining Lease	N40, 000
c) Mining Lease	N40, 000
d) Quarry Lease	N40, 000

6. APPLICATION FOR RELINQUISHMENT

a) Small Scale Mining Lease	N10, 000
b) Mining Lease	N10, 000
c) Quarry Lease	N10, 000
d) Exploration Licence	N10, 000

7. APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER

a) Exploration Licence	N100, 000
b) Small Scale Mining Lease	N50, 000
c) Mining Lease	N250, 000
d) Quarry Lease	N100, 000

8. APPLICATION FOR SURRENDER

a) Exploration Licence	N10, 000
b) Small Scale Mining Lease	N10, 000
c) Mining Lease	N10, 000
d) Quarry Lease	N10, 000

9. APPLICATION FOR CONSOLIDATION

a) Exploration Licence	N20, 000
b) Small Scale Mining Lease	N20, 000
b) Mining Lease	N50, 000
c) Quarry Lease	N50, 000

10. APPLICATION TO ENDORSE ADDITIONAL MINERAL

a) Exploration Licence	N20, 000
b) Small Scale Mining Lease	N10, 000
b) Mining Lease	N50, 000
c) Quarry Lease	N10, 000

11. APPLICATION FOR CERTIFIED TRUE

COPY OF LOST CERTIFICATE	N10, 000
---------------------------------	-----------------

12. APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT OF

DOCUMENTS	N5, 000
------------------	----------------

13. SEARCH FEE/DUE DILIGENCE **N50, 000****14. CADASTRE MAP INFORMATION**

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFIED TRUE COPY OF DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN TITLE DOCUMENTS	N2, 000
--	----------------

Appendix C

REPORT ON ACTIVITIES AND REVENUE GENERATION IN MINING CADASTRE OFFICE (MCO)

This report received from MCO has slightly been revised by the Consultant with the aim of focus on 2010.

1.0 INTRODUCTION:

Following the Federal Government's Mining Sector reform, the new Ministry of Solid Minerals Development (later re-named Ministry of Mines and Steel Development) was restructured to consist of six technical departments and one service (administrative) department. One of the new technical departments was the Mining Cadastre Office (MCO), now being transformed into an autonomous Agency of the Ministry, as provided by section 5(1) of the Minerals and Mining Act of 2007 (NMMA 2007).

The Agency is responsible for the management and administration of mineral titles, considered to be the cornerstone of a secure mineral rights system. Therefore the modernization of the Mining Cadastre system is seen as a key priority, being a pre-requisite for a private-driven mining sector.

1.1 MINING CADASTRE SYSTEM:

The Mining Cadastre system in Nigeria is a computer-based system that maintains a database of mining licences with their ownership status, time validity, geographic location of their mineral concession areas, fees and dues paid, and other relevant information. This system covers all the transactions that occur during the entire life cycle of a mining title from the initial application, through the granting of the licence, payment of annual fees, tracking of the necessary annual reports, re-assignment or lapsing, and final relinquishment of the title. In line with the NMMA 2007, MCO is governed by the following basic principles:

Priority (first come, first served);

Objectivity (based on legally defined sets of regulations and procedures);

Non-discretionary (same rules for all);

Transparency (open to consultation of the maps and registers).

MCO was created in October 2005 as one of the 3 new departments from the Reform exercise and officially opened to the public on 2 April, 2006. The Nigerian Minerals and Mining Act, 2007 was signed into law on 29 March, 2007 and processing of mineral title applications commenced in May, 2007. It became fully autonomous in 2011.

1.2 FUNCTIONS OF MINING CADASTRE OFFICE (MCO)

As an Agency responsible for the administration of mineral titles in Nigeria, the Mining Cadastre Office is charged with the following responsibilities:

Act as a liaison between the MMSD and the holders or applicants of any question related to mineral rights;
Receive, consider and dispose applications for mineral titles and permits and applications for the transfer, renewal, modification and relinquishment of mineral titles or extension of areas;
Grant, issue, suspend and (with the approval of the Minister) revoke mineral titles;
Maintain a chronological record of all applications for mineral titles in a Priority Register and General Register and maintain the cadastral registers;
Create and maintain a database of all mineral titles and applications;
Create and maintain a cartographic database of all mineral titles and applications on paper as well as electronically (the cadastral maps).
Generate statistical reports and manage user roles, privileges and rights. The goals of this system are to strengthen investors' property rights and security of tenure within the mining sector, enhance the transparency of the mineral licensing process and support government's regulatory capacity through improved efficiency, information availability and management.

1.3 THE COMPUTERISED MINING CADASTRE SYSTEM:

In 2007, the setting up of a new computerized cadastre system took off within the framework of an on-going World Bank-funded project named "Sustainable Management of Mineral Resources Project (SMMRP)" coordinated by the Project Management Unit (PMU) under the Ministry of Mines and Steel Development (MMSD) of the Federal Republic of Nigeria. The new Computerized Mining Cadastre (CMC) was developed by GAF AG, a German-based company. CMC helps the MCO to manage mining titles, guaranteeing their transparency and performance, as well as securing the mining tenure in an economically and environmentally sustainable manner. The new MCO organization consists of a central office in the capital city of Abuja and six decentralized regional cadastre offices of which only two have been established in the North Central and South Western Nigeria.

1.4 PROCEDURE:

Procedure for Mineral Title Licences/Leases and Permits:

- Duly completed application form
- Coordinates of the area of application
- Certificate of incorporation
- Attestation
- Letter of consent from landowner(s)/occupier(s)
- Types of minerals
- Work programme/pre-feasibility report
- Evidence of payment
- Technical capability
- Financial capability
- Survey plan for leases

Applications with inadequate information and contravening the NMMA 2007 will be rejected

2.0 INVENTORY OF MINING TITLES:

The inventory of mining titles from pre -cadastral through to inception of the Mining Cadastre system till date is 10412 comprising the six different types of mineral titles.

2.1 SUMMARY OF APPLICATIONS/TITLES RECEIVED 2010




Figure C1. Summary of Applications/Titles received in 2010




Figure C2A. Summary of different mineral titles in 2010 per state.




Figure C2B. Summary of different mineral titles in 2010 per state




Figure. C4. Number of valid titles in MCO according to type

3.0 REVENUE GENERATION:

The MCO has four major sources of revenue generation. These sources are from:

- Application processing fees
- Annual service fees
- Assignments/transfers
- Public information charges

Since the opening of MCO to the public in April, 2006 and the first grants of Minerals Titles in May, 2007 the following amounts of revenue have been generated and paid into the federation account through the Ministry of Mines and Steel Development's Account. The mode of payment is in form of bank drafts by the operators. The summary of revenue collected is tabulated and presented below:

Year	Registration fee	Annual service charge	Information and map printing	Transfers/assignment	Total
2006	7,902,500	-	33,300	-	7,935,800
2007	20,973,500	185,331,100	56,200	1,720,000	208,080,800
2008	10,840,000	74,262,000	373,400	160,000	85,635,400
2009	8,516,000	79,393,500	71,200	130,000	88,110,700
2010	14,722,300	322,879,200	204,700	3,580,000	344,386,200
Total	62,954,300	661,865,800	738,800	5,590,000	731,148,900

Table C1: Summary of revenue collected by the Mining Cadastre Office from 2006 to 2010.




Figure. C4. Revenue generated from 2006-2010.

2010	Registration fee	Annual service charge	Information and map printing	Transfers/ assignment	Total
January	3,552,000	3,170,5000	1,500	NIL	6,724,000
February	880,000	6,655,000	12,800	NIL	7,547,800
March	880,000	8,066,000	4,900	310,000	9,260,900
April	670,000	38,847,000	11,900	Nil	39,528,900
May	1,060,000	69,539,000	39,800	990,000	71,628,800
June	1,230,300	48,074,300	29,600	910,000	50,244,200
July	1,165,000	21,775,000	36,100	230,000	23,206,100
August	1,250,000	37,785,500	13,800	470,000	39,619,300
September	845,000	29,097,500	9,800	30,000	29,982,300
October	995,000	45,601,200	13,900	150,000	46,760,100
November	1,285,000	8,379,200	12,400	70,000	9,746,600
December	810,000	5,889,000	18,200	420,000	7,137,200
Total	14,722,300	322,879,200	204,700	3,580,000	341,386,200

Table C2. Revenue generated from January to December 2010.




Figure C5. Revenue generated from January to December 2010.

APPENDIX D

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF NIGERIA MINISTRY OF MINES AND STEEL DEVELOPMENT

ROYALTY RATES FOR SELECTED MINERALS

A more detailed table of royalty rates in numbers has been requested from MID

S/No	MINERALS	Royalty in % of value
1	Baryte	5
2	Columbite	3
3	Feldspar	5
4	Gold	3
5	Gypsum	5
6	Iron Ore	3
7	Laterite	5
8	Lead/Zinc	3
9	Limestone	5
10	Marble Aggregates	5
11	Sand	5
12	Stone Aggregates	5
13	Tantalite	3
14	Tourmaline (Red)	5
15	Tourmaline (Green)	5
16	Tourmaline (blue)	5
17	Tourmaline (others)	5
18	Aquamarine	5
19	Wolframite	3
20	Kaoline	5
21	Ilmenite	3
22	Topaz	3
23	Zircon Sand	5
24	Sapphire	5
25	Phosphate	5
26	Amthysl	5
27	Clay	5
28	Cassiterite	3
29	Shale	5
30	Bauxite	3
31	Bentonite	5
32	Bitumen/Tar sand	3
33	Coal	3
34	Corrundum	5
35	Crystal Quartz	5

36	Diatomite	5
37	Dolomite	5
38	Emerald	5
39	Garnet	5
40	Granite Blocks	5
41	Industrial Quartz	5
42	Magnesite	3
43	Marble blocks	5
44	Mica	5
45	Pyrite	3
46	Ruby	5
47	Rutile	3
48	Salt	5
49	Silica Sand	5
50	Soda Ash/Trona	5
51	Talc	5
52	Tin Ore	3

Appendix E

Table of fees and duties in the solid mineral sector

S/No	Particulars	MMSD						FMOE	States (SBIR)	Local Govt	Land owners	FIRS
		MCO	MID	ASMD	MECD	NGSA	NIMG					
1	Mining title(s) application fees	X										
2	Mining title(s) annual service fees	X										
3	Fees for processing of renewal of mining titles (application)	X										
4	Penalty fee for late renewal of mining titles (application)	X										
5	Fees for application for enlargement (processing) of mining titles	X										
6	Application for relinquishment of mining title fees	X										
7	Application for transfer Mining Title fees	X										
8	Application for surrender mining title fees	X										
9	Application for consolidation mining title fees	X										
10	Fees for application to endorse additional mineral	X										

S/ No	Particulars	States (SBIR)						Community/ Landowners	FIRS		
		MCO	MID	ASMD	MECD	NGSA	NIMG			FMoE	LGA
11	Fees for application for certified true copy of lost certificate of mining title	X									
12	Fees for application for amendment of documents	X									
13	Search fee / due diligence	X									
14	Fees for cadastre map information, application for certified true copy of other documents other than title documents	X									
15	Royalty		X								
16	Permit to deposit tailings		X								
17	Permit to export minerals for commercial purposes		X								
18	Permit to export mineral samples for analysis		X								
19	Permit to possess and purchase minerals		X								
20	Registration of accredited agents for movement of minerals		X								
21	Permit to import explosives		X								
22	Blasting certificate		X								
23	Licence to manufacture explosives		X								
24	Permit to erect a magazine		X								
25	Licence to buy explosives		X								
26	Licence to sell explosives		X								
27	Explosives magazine licence		X								

S/ No	Particulars	MMSD				FMoE	States (SBIR)	LGA	Community landowners	FIRS
		MCO	MID	ASMD	MECD					
28	Licence for storage of explosives		X							
29	Blasting certificates		X							
30	Penalties for contravening the provisions of the Explosives Act of 1964 and Explosives Regulations of 1967		X							
31	Contribution to the Environmental Protection Fund		X							
32	Application for ASM registration			X						
33	Application for registration of mineral buying centre			X						
34	Application for renewal of buying centre licence			X						
35	Annual surface rents					X				
36	Property rates							X		
37	Compensation							X		
38	Community Development Agreement									
39	Registration for EIA/EPRP processing/approval					X				
40	Value Added Tax (Vat)								X	
41	Corporate income tax								X	
42	Withholding tax								X	
43	Education tax								X	
44	Pay as you earn (PAYE)						X			
45	Mineral separation services							X		
46	Mineral analysis							X		
47	Consultancy fees							X		

LEGEND

- MCO Mining Cadastre Office
- FMoE Federal Ministry of Environment
- MID Mines Inspectorate Department
- SBIR State Boards of Internal Revenue
- MECD Mines Environmental Compliance Department
- FIRS Federal Inland Revenue Service
- ASMD Artisanal and Small Scale Department
- NGSA Nigeria Geological Survey Agency

Appendix F
List of companies paying more than 2,000,000 Naira in Royalties

Information provided by Mines Inspectorate Department

This ? 740,918,252 is 88% of total payment of Royalty paid in 2010

S/N	Name of company/operator	Operation	Operation	Royalty (N:K)
1	Julius Berger	Granite & Laterite quarrying	Active	296,139,759
2	Salini Nig.Ltd	Laterite quarrying	Active	2,587,579
3	Dantata and Sawoe Co. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	5,220,731
4	Kopek Construction Nig Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	5,122,770
5	S.C.C Nig. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	6,359,168
6	Hongyun Nig Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	3,104,440
7	P.W.Nig Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	9,181,737
8	Arab Const. Nig.Ltd##	Granite quarrying	Active	21,426,820
9	Ratcon Construction###	Granite quarrying	Active	20,365,725
10	Ahmu International Mining Co. Ltd	Tourmaline	Active	3,000,000
11	Black Stone Crushing Co. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	4,820,080
12	CCC Const Nig Ltd###	Granite quarrying	Active	3,588,260
13	Dantata land & Sea Co Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	2,638,960
14	Triacta Nigerian Ltd	Granite& Laterite quarrying	Active	2,878,910
15	C.C.N.N.	Limestone quarrying	Active	26,104,905
16	Borini Prono & Company	Granite quarrying	Active	2,200,000

17	Setraco Nigerian Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	8,580,000
18	West African Portland	Limestone, Shale & Red Alluv. quarrying	Active	35,509,125
19	Porcelain Ware Industry Ltd	Kaolin Mining, Clay, Laterite, Limestone & Feldspar quarrying	Active	2,330,473
20	Multiverse Resources Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	2,395,245
21	CNC Eng'g Nigerian Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	5,328,000
22	China Civil Nigerian Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	2,787,000
23	Paras Crushing Co. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	2,854,080
24	RCC Nig Ltd	Granite & Sand quarrying	Active	12,382,218
25	Construction Support	Sand quarrying	Active	2,811,777
26	Macdaniels	Limestone quarrying	Active	5,278,000
27	Setraco Nig. Ltd#	Basalt quarrying	Active	2,000,000
28	CCC Nig Ltd	Dolorite quarrying	Active	4,788,260
29	Benue Cement Co. Plc.	Limestone, Laterite & Clay quarrying	Active	30,838,920
30	Obajana Cement Co.	Limestone, Clay & Laterite quarrying	Active	129,533,337
31	Ashaka Cement Plc	Limestone quarrying & Coal mining	Active	13,435,803
32	Hitech Const. Co. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	2,275,280
33	Unicem Ltd	Limestone quarrying	Active	26,578,107
34	AKC Engineering	Granite quarrying	Active	34,071,783
35	Zenith Const Co. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	2,401,000
	GRAND TOTAL			740,918,252

JT/.07.07.2011

Setraco is a sum of 8 different payments

Arab is a sum of 3 payments

Ratcon and R.C.C are both a sum of 2 payments

Appendix G

List of companies paying more than 5,000,000 Naira in Royalties

Information provided by Mines Inspectorate Department

This 693,654,908 Naira is 83% of total payment of royalty paid in 2010

S/N	Name of company / operator	Nature of operation	Status of operation	Royalty (Naira)
1	Julius Berger	Granite & Laterite quarrying	Active	296,139,759
2	Dantata and Sawoe Co. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	5,220,731
3	Kopek Construction Nig. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	5,122,770
4	Arab Contractors##	Granite quarrying	Active	21,426,819
5	S.C.C Nig. Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	6,359,168
6	P.W.Nig . Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	9,181,737
7	Ratcon Construction###	Granite quarrying	Active	20,365,725
8	C.C.N.N	Limestone quarrying	Active	26,104,905
9	Borini Prono & Company	Granite quarrying	Active	2,200,000
10	Setraco Nigerian Ltd#	Granite Quarrying	Active	8,580,000
11	West African Portland	Limestone ,Shale &Red Alluvial quarrying	Active	35,509,125

12	CNC Eng'g Nigerian Ltd	Granite quarrying	Active	5,328,000
13	MacDaniels	Limestone & Dolerite	Active	5,276,000
14	Benue Cement Co. Plc.	Limestone, Laterite & Clay quarrying	Active	30,838,920
15	Obajana Cement Co	Limestone, Clay & Laterite quarrying	Active	129,533,337
16	Ashaka Cement Plc	Limestone quarrying & Coal mining	Active	13,435,803
17	R.C.C. Nig Ltd###	Granite quarrying	Active	12,382,218
18	Unicem Ltd	Limestone quarrying	Active	26,578,107
19	AKC Engineering	Granite quarrying	Active	34,071,783
				693,654,908

JT/07.07.2011

Setraco is a sum of 8 different payments

Arab is a sum of 3 payments

Ratcon and R.C.C are both a sum of 2 payments

Appendix H

<p>Workshop Name</p>	<p>Scoping Study of Nigerian Mining Sector.</p> <p>NEITI extension to Solid Minerals Sector.</p> <p>Stakeholder Workshop 22nd of September 2011</p> <p>Venue: Nut- Endwell Conference Hotel, Kaduna</p>
<p>Approach and objective of the workshop</p>	<p>The workshop will present the concept behind EITI and the role of NEITI</p> <p>The workshop will present the findings from the project "Scoping Study of Nigerian Mining Sector" carried out by GEUS and Associates.</p> <p>The workshop will discuss and recommend ways forward for the inclusion of the solid mineral sector in the work of NEITI</p>
<p>Workshop content</p>	<p><i>08.30 – 09.00: Registration</i></p> <p><i>09.00 – 09.15: Welcome by Professor Assisi Asobie. Chairman of the National Stakeholder Working Group (NSWG).</i></p> <p><i>09.15–10.00: Introduction to the EITI concept by Mrs Zainab S. Ahmed. Executive Secretary of NEITI.</i></p> <p><i>10.00 – 10.45: Presentation of NEITI and the work carried out in the petroleum sector by Eng. Tariye O. George. Technical Director of NEITI.</i></p> <p><i>10.45 – 11.15: Coffee Break</i></p> <p><i>11.15 – 11.45: Presentation from MMSD (Title to be discussed)</i></p> <p><i>11.45 – 12.15: presentation from Miners Association of Nigeria (Title to be discussed)</i></p> <p><i>12.30 – 13.30: Lunch break</i></p> <p><i>13.30 – 14.15: Presentation of the Solid Mineral Sector in Nigeria by Eng. Umar A. Hassan</i></p> <p><i>14.15 – 15.00: Presentation of the findings and recommendations for the project "Scoping Study of Nigerian Mining Sector" by Mr. John Tychem</i></p> <p><i>15.00 – 15.30: Coffee break</i></p>

	<p>15.30 – 16.30: Discussion of the findings of the project and recommendations to NEITI for the extension to include Solid Mineral Sector. Chaired by Mr John Tychsen</p> <p>16.30: Closing remarks by Professor Assisi Asobie. Chairman of the National Stakeholder Working Group (NSWG).</p>
Facilitators	<p>The Consultant Team:</p> <p>Mr. John Tychsen Eng. Umar Albarka Hassan Mr. Bashir Waziri</p>
Approach	<p>Presentations Plenum discussions</p>
Literature	<p>Report for Stakeholder Workshop</p>

Appendix J

NEITI STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP ON SCOPING STUDY OF NIGERIAN MINING SECTOR HELD ON 22ND OF SEPTEMBER 2011 AT NUT- ENDWELL CONFERENCE HOTEL, KADUNA

CONTENTS

- 1.0 Workshop proceedings, presentations and observations
- 2.0 Report on discussions and recommendations of ways of including the solid minerals sector in the work of NEITI

1.0 WORKSHOP PROCEEDINGS

Objectives of Workshop

The workshop is to:

present the concept behind EITI and the role of NEITI;

present the findings from the project "Scoping Study of Nigerian Mining Sector" carried out by GEUS and Associates; and

discuss and recommend the way forward for including the solid mineral sector in the work of NEITI

Proceedings

The workshop started at 10.40 a.m. with the opening welcome address delivered by Technical Director of NEITI, Engr. Tariye O. George on behalf of Chairman of the National Stakeholder Working Group (NSWG) Professor Assisi Asobie. This was followed by the speech of the Executive Secretary on NEITI, Mrs. Zainab S. Ahmed on 'Introduction of the EITI Concept' read by her representative.

The two speeches dwelt on the EITI concept and the role of NEITI in Nigeria. Established by the NEITI Act of 2007, the initiative has so far focused on the oil and gas sector of the extractive industries in Nigeria.

NEITI has pursued vigorously the tracking of revenue from the oil and gas sector accruing to the Federal Government of Nigeria and made it public under its transparency mandate.

The two executives then expressed the need for similar effort by NEITI in the solid minerals sector of the extractive industries. On this background the Stakeholders' Workshop which is to receive

and discuss the findings and recommendations of the consultants, GEUS and Associates mandated to carry out the project "Scoping Study of Nigerian Mining Sector".

Presentations

Three presentations were made before the GEUS consultants chief, Mr. John Tychsen presented the project report.

Engr. Tariye George made a presentation of the work NEITI has been doing on the petroleum sector. How the organization has been matching what the operators in the sector claim to have paid with what government claimed to have received and so on.

The presenter then gave the differences and similarities between the oil and gas sector and the solid minerals sector as a foretaste of what is to be expecting from NEITI as it approaches the solid minerals sector task.

Alhaji Sani Shehu, the President of Miners Association of Nigeria, in the second presentation titled "**Relevance of NEITI in the Solid Minerals Sector**" reinforced the need for the organization to quicken its steps to track revenue from the sector, he highlighted the 'swing' nature of government attention to the solid mineral sector (high before oil became the mainstay, low when oil dominated and high again as alternative revenue sources to oil is now the concern) since 1902 to 1903 when mining of any significance started in Nigeria.

Tracing the major stages of solid minerals mining in Nigeria, Alhaji Sani Shehu wants the challenges of NEITI especially its scanty presence nationwide tackled for it to function efficiently.

Engr. Umar A. Hassan's presentation on the **Solid Minerals Sector in Nigeria** was an expose of the extent and potential of solid minerals presence in the Nigerian soil.

The presentation surveyed and suggested ways of tracking revenue in the sector offering NEITI an insight into vital areas that need intricate monitoring.

The main presentation of the workshop was by the chief consultant of GEUS and Associates, Mr. John Tychsen. Hard copies of the report were made available to registered participants and key stakeholders in attendance at the workshop.

In a power point presentation, Mr. Tychsen stated that Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS) has come a long way with its wide experience in the project area having been around since the year 1888. He highlighted the terms of reference for the project which he explained was necessary to streamline the work into a manageable scope.

After acknowledging the cooperation the project effort received from a range of stakeholders which he classified into government agencies, civil society groups and private sector operators in

the solid minerals sector, Mr. Tychsen listed the envisaged constraints to NEITI's solid mineral revenue monitoring task. The constraints are classified into political, economic barriers, regulatory problems and institutional problems.

The consultant also reiterated the justification for inclusion of solid minerals in EITI program with the sector's stakeholders' interest as primary. Mr. Tychsen highlighted the stakeholders' (government, civil society and private sector operators) crave for accountability, increased revenue generation, infrastructural development, mining activity impact assessment, etc as the stakes. NEITI, according to him, offers hope for attainment of the goals of the stakeholders as it is performing similar feat in the oil and gas sector.

One of the key outcome of the project was a decision for materiality level to be used in the planned audit of the solid mineral sector. The consultant discussed the possibility of using CIT, VAT, Royalties, license fees etc. The consultant's recommendation was to use the amount of Royalty paid. In 2010 Royalty was paid by 515 companies, which is too many to include in the audit. The consultant suggested to use the payment of more than N 5,000,000 as a materiality level for companies to be included.

These recommendations among others ended Mr. Tychsen's presentation.

The workshop presentation session ended to resume after Lunch Break for the discussion of the project report in an interactive session at 2.15p.m.

SESSION 2: The Interactive Session

DISCUSSION ON WAY FORWARD FOR INCLUSION OF SOLID MINERALS IN NEITI MANDATE

The discussion session on the presentation of findings of the project and recommendations to NEITI for the extension to include solid mineral sector was chaired by Mr. John Tychsen while Dr. Felix Gbenoba was rapporteur.

The session proceeded by taking a batch of five questions, comments or suggestions from participants which the chairman and other presenters responded to at a time.

In all, four batches of five contributions were taken and responses given by Mr. John Tychsen (session chairman), Engineers Umar Hassan, Tariye George and other workshop participants.

The robust discussions in summation examined the project report critically and came up with issues on the following:

On scope covered and the project terms of reference

Discussants commended the consultants for a good work in areas covered by the report. While some see the scope as not wide enough for such a vital sector especially with its myriad of

challenges, others like Mr Obiefuna of Ajaokuta Steel Company believes what should be of concern is how to use solid minerals entirely for local consumption. The differences in standpoints set the tone for the discussions which followed and are classified broadly under the following issues.

On Organization of Artisanal Miners into Groups for Monitoring

The participants cum discussants agreed with the report that majority of private operators in the solid mineral sector were medium and small scale artisanal miners. They also agreed with the report on the need to support the efforts at organizing the miners into cooperative groups. Enumerating the advantages of the pooling for all stakeholders in the sector, discussants suggested ways to help the course and raise the benefits that will accrue to all.

For effective pooling the participants stressed the need for NEITI to cover the entire local government areas of the country as there is hardly any without one solid mineral or the other. They advocated the setting up of more buying centers for various products of miners noting that activities in existing ones should be given lifelines through special funds and interventions.

On Value Added

The need to discourage exportation of solid minerals without any processing was another contentious issue which participants felt the project report did not give enough attention to. Engineers U.S. Bamali, Olatunji and Alison Musa stressed the need to add more value to solid minerals in Nigeria by doing some processing before selling for local consumption or for export. The value added will enable operators to earn higher revenue for themselves and country, they reasoned. So they suggested the establishment of more processing centers for various solid minerals in the country.

On Training for Increased Revenue and Production Output

Participants x-rayed the project report and suggested some ways of increasing the output of the sector. These include improving the operators' tools and skills which the report did not dwell on. They advocated the establishment of institutes to train miners in the use of modern equipment. Dr. Odigi from the Ahmadu Bello University, A. I. Abass and M. Balali of the Kaduna Polytechnic, Engr. Abdullahi Aregbe of P. A. ISS HASS Limited expressed this concern. Engr. Yakubu of National Metallurgical Centre, Mr. Ibrahim and Mr. Obiefuna of Ajaokuta Steel also suggested that improved capacity will enable the artisanal and medium scale miners partner with government in solid mineral exploration for increased revenue generation. They all want development of capacity through training as they observed that the solid minerals sector would record increased revenue by so doing.

Mr. Tychsen, noting that the area does not strictly fall within the terms of reference of the project consultants, acknowledged the need for training. He suggested that the private operators could establish training institutions and seek assistance from government and foreign agencies to run such institutions either by providing counterpart funds or expertise and personnel.

On Intercompany Synergy Building

Some discussants also noted the project report's neglect of the benefits that could accrue to the sector through cooperation between companies in the mining sector and suggested the way forward in that regard. Dr. Odigi for example suggested that the NNPC and Ajaokuta Steel could work together in the area of oil pipeline procurement; with the latter meeting the former's pipe needs.

On Effective Revenue Monitoring

The project report classification of Nigeria's solid minerals mining activities as largely in the medium and small scale category was acknowledged by participants as very right. Discussants noted that the situation is advantageous as the country will be able to plan to have mining conglomerates built on the coming together of the small and medium scale operators. That will mean that the ownership structure, whenever the large scale operators come up, would be widespread and be deprived of the monstrosity associated with such large scale operators in such other African countries as Ghana, Tanzania and South Africa.

Some participants therefore suggested that efforts at grouping artisanal miners into larger entities be intensified by stakeholders so that revenue collection could be more effectively monitored.

Mr. John Tychsen supported this view. This has been initiated by MMSD, but need to be further developed partly to have a more operational number of contacts and partly to be able to provide technical assistance to the mining operators.

On Transparency/Accountability

Participants noted the lack of clarity in the project report on which agency actually collects the revenue and what modalities should guide the custody of such revenue from the solid minerals sector. Mr. Susarumso from the Accountant General (AG's) Office, Abuja wants the matter especially that the account into which to pay the revenue to be well defined. He suggested a special account in the AG's office host the revenue from where it should be disbursed by relevant authorities. He also wants the auditing function of NEITI in the solid minerals sector to be made more effective by equipping it and expanding its network.

Justice Abgbeze of the Central Bank of Nigeria advocates the putting in place of special rules to guide the disbursement of loans to miners especially the ubiquitous artisanal operators.

On Capacity Building, Standardization of Production Quality, Use of Modern Technology for Enhanced Output

Participants noted an inadequate attention given to capacity building in the project report. They suggested a conscious building of the capacity of the miners so that they can use modern mining equipment among other benefits. This will increase output as well as revenue.

Meeting best global practices

The pooling of efforts would address standardization of laboratory testing and certification of solid minerals type and quality to stem loss of revenue both in local and export market.

Engr. Abdullahi Aregbe of P. A. I SS HASS Limited, Mr. Olusegun Oladipo of Mines and Steel Company stressed the need for the sector to adopt operational techniques that will meet up with global best practices. In this way the products from the sector will meet world standards and sales to any part of the globe will be guaranteed.

Ethical Concerns

Participants, especially members of civil society groups also took a swipe at the project report for not giving adequate vent to some ethical issues. Apart from the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) considered in the report, Alutoho Benjamin of WREP, a civil society group, noted that the report did not mention the prevalent child labor practice in mining activities in the country. He argued that this negative aspect in the sector should be done away with by making rules on minimum age in any effort to organize the artisanal miners. Faith Nwandishi of PWYP stated that even for the EIAs advocated, the report did not enunciate enough measures to ensure that they are actually carried out by firms in the sector and they are not reduced to mere form-filling exercises.

On Details and Technicalities of the Project Report

Observations by Engineers Tariye George (NEITI technical Director), Akin George of Geosciences Association and Faith Nwadishi of Publish What You Pay (PWYP) at the end of the first session set the tone in this regard.

Engr. Tariye George noted the non-inclusion of the Ministry of Trade and Commerce in the project which Akin George reiterated. The latter also took exception to some of the figures highlighted in the presentation.

Faith Nwadishi of PWYP a civil society group noted that extractive resources are non-renewable resources hence the need to vigorously monitor revenue from them and the use to which such revenues are put. She therefore objected to the project consultants' failure to highlight this economic concern in the report findings. Nwasidhi observed that the report by Mr. John Tychsen did not feature a summary of the findings before going on to make recommendations. She noted that the charts on pages 63-66 are not well explained especially the key and acronyms.

She also questioned the report's focus on cement and construction companies while leaving out the metal mining such as gold, in the recommendations to NEITI for inclusion in its auditing. Participants wanted NEITI to monitor revenue of metal mining operators scattered all over the country as they will aggregate to huge amounts which can be significant to any country.

Mr. Tychsen observed that the project report's focus on cement and construction companies was informed by the visibility of such organization in the country. According to him, the level of materiality which shows this visibility supports the need for NEITI to go for these big companies

first while the other operators are being organized into manageable groups that the agency (NEITI) could subsequently monitor. The GEUS chief consultant (Mr. Tychsen) took note of the technical observation of Faith Nwadishi on the charts on pages 63 to 66 of the project report.




Concluding Remarks

On the whole Mr Tychsen noted the contributions of various participants for their immense usefulness to the project. With the aim of keeping the Scoping Study focused on the original ToR he encouraged NEITI to work with MMSD in implementing some of the presented ideas.

He was convinced that the report would be a valuable tool for the planned audit of the solid mineral sector as well as a starting point for development of the ideas presented at the workshop

The vote of thanks by NEITI staff brought the workshop to a close.

Signed: Felix Gbenoba.



NEITI

Nigeria
Extractive
Industries
Transparency
Initiative

...promoting transparency, enabling prosperity.